**Accountability and Transparency Review (ATRT3) Survey: GROUPS**

**1. Which SO, AC, GNSO constituent body, or RALO (Structure) is responding?**

* **RALOS: AFRALO**

**Please note that all questions in this survey only cover the period from October 2016 (IANA Stewardship Transition) to August 2019.**

**BOARD-RELATED QUESTIONS**

**2. Please indicate your Structure's satisfaction with the Board's performance overall:**

* Very satisfied
* **Satisfied**
* No opinion
* Somewhat dissatisfied
* Very dissatisfied

**Please answer if you selected 'very dissatisfied' or 'somewhat dissatisfied' to question 2. If very dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied do you have any suggestions for improvements?**

**3. How does your Structure feel regarding the Board’s interaction with your SO/AC?**

* Very satisfied
* **Satisfied**
* No opinion
* Somewhat dissatisfied
* Very dissatisfied

**Please answer if you selected 'very dissatisfied' or 'somewhat dissatisfied' to question 3. If very dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied do you have any suggestions for improvements?**

**4. Does your Structure consider the diversity amongst Board members satisfactory?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'no' to question 4. Which areas of diversity do you feel need improvement? (select all diversity factors you think apply):**

* Geographical/regional representation
* Language
* Gender
* Age
* Physical disability
* Diverse skills
* Stakeholder group or constituency

**Please explain:**

**Please answer if you selected 'no' to question 4. Do you have any suggestions for improvements?**

We welcome Nomcom 2019 selection of 2 incoming African board members replacing the current outgoing African board members. The nomcom should always ensures a regional/gender diversity in the board composition.

**5. How satisfied is your Structure with the Nominating Committee’s selection of Directors for the ICANN Board:**

* Very satisfied
* **Satisfied**
* No opinion
* Somewhat dissatisfied
* Very dissatisfied

**Please answer if you selected 'very dissatisfied' or 'somewhat dissatisfied' to question 5. If very dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied do you have any suggestions for improvements?**

Answer here (if applicable)

**6. Please indicate your Structure's satisfaction with the accountability of the Board under the new accountability mechanisms such as the Empowered Community:**

* Very satisfied
* **Satisfied**
* No opinion
* Somewhat dissatisfied
* Very dissatisfied

**Please answer if you selected 'very dissatisfied' or 'somewhat dissatisfied' to question 6. If very dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied do you have any suggestions for improvements?**

**7. Rate the mechanisms ensuring the Board’s transparency:**

* Very effective
* **Effective**
* No opinion
* Somewhat ineffective
* Ineffective

**Please answer if you selected 'ineffective' or 'somewhat ineffective' to question 7. If ineffective or somewhat ineffective, do you have any suggestions for improvements?**

Answer here (if applicable)

**8. How would your Structure rate the importance of the Board implementing the Transparency Recommendations from the CCWG-Accountability WS2?**

* **Very important**
* Somewhat important
* No opinion
* Somewhat not important
* Not important

**9. Is your Structure satisfied with the Board’s decision-taking process?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'no' to question 9. Do you have any suggestions for improvements?**

**10. Is your Structure aware of the training program for the Board members?**

* Yes
* **No**

**11. How satisfied is your Structure with the financial information that is provided to the public by ICANN?**

* Very satisfied
* **Satisfied**
* No opinion
* Somewhat dissatisfied
* Very dissatisfied

**Please answer if you selected "very dissatisfied' or 'somewhat dissatisfied' to question 11. If very dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied do you have any suggestions for improvements?**

**12. How would your Structure rate the usability of the financial information?**

* Very useful
* **Somewhat useful**
* No opinion
* Somewhat not useful
* Not useful

**GAC-RELATED QUESTIONS**

**13. Should GAC accountability be improved?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 13. What would you suggest?**

Although work is based on consenus, its important to document the details of the different/conflicting views of the GAC members

**14. Should GAC transparency be improved?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 14. What would you suggest?**

More public debate and decision making

**15. How satisfied is your Structure with the interactions the GAC has with the Board?**

* Very satisfied
* **Satisfied**
* No opinion
* Somewhat dissatisfied
* Very dissatisfied

**Please answer if you selected 'very dissatisfied' or 'somewhat dissatisfied' to question 15. If very dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied do you have any suggestions for improvements?**

Answer here (if applicable)

**16. How satisfied is your Structure with the interactions the GAC has with the SO/ACs?**

* Very satisfied
* **Satisfied**
* No opinion
* Somewhat dissatisfied
* Very dissatisfied

**Please answer if you selected 'very dissatisfied' or 'somewhat dissatisfied' to question 16. If very dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied do you have any suggestions for improvements?**

Answer here (if applicable)

**TRANSPARENCY**

**17. Has your Structure ever filed a Documentary Information Disclosure Policy (DIDP) request with ICANN?**

* Yes
* **No**

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 17. What information was your Structure seeking?**

Answer here (if applicable)

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 17. Did your Structure receive the information it requested in full?**

* Yes
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 17. Did the material that your Structure received answer its question?**

* Yes
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 17. Please feel free to add any other thoughts you have about the Documentary Information Disclosure Policy (DIDP) process.**

Answer here (if applicable)

**18. Do you believe the information ICANN makes available on the icann.org website should be better organized to facilitate searching for specific topics?**

* **Yes**
* No

**19. Do you believe the information ICANN makes available on the community wiki website should be better organized to facilitate searching on the wiki?**

* **Yes**
* No

**20. Is your Structure aware of ICANN’s open data mechanisms, including the Information Transparency Initiative (ITI) or the Open Data Initiative (ODI), or of ICANN’s transparency policies more generally?**

* **Yes**
* No

**SO/ACs**

**21. Are ICANN’s mechanisms sufficient to generate policies which are acceptable to the global Internet community?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'no' to question 21. Where do you think these shortcomings lie, and how could they be improved?**

**22. What procedures do you have in place within your Structure for electing NomCom representatives?**

We elect a representitive to the Nomcom

**23. Do you feel that the NomCom, as currently constituted, is a sufficient mechanism for fostering nominations that have adequate stakeholder and community buy-in?**

* Yes
* **No**

**Please answer if you selected 'no' to question 23. Where do you think these shortcomings lie, and how could they be improved?**

Extensive regional outreach is required in order to be able to attract Good canadiates

**24. Does your Structure have formalized or instituted term limits for membership?**

* **Yes**
* No
* Does not apply

**25. Does your Structure have formalized or instituted term limits for leadership?**

* **Yes**
* No

**26. What is your Structure’s feedback regarding its selection of Board members or non-voting Liaisons to the Board?**

**27. Does your Structure have a transparency policy?**

* Yes
* **No**

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 27. Please describe or provide a link to any formalized transparency processes/protocols/policy that your Structure uses.**

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 27. When was the last time it was revised?**

**28. Does your Structure have a conflict of interest policy?**

* Yes
* **No**

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 28. Please describe or provide a link to any formalized conflicts of interest processes/protocols/policy that your Structure uses.**

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 28. Does this include an evaluation component?**

* Yes
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to the question above. Please provide details:**

**Please answer if you selected 'no' to question 28. Has your structure ever experienced or perceived challenges related to conflicts of interest?**

* Yes
* No

**PUBLIC COMMENTS**

**29. Please rate how effective the current system of Public Comments is for gathering community input.**

* Very effective
* **Effective (somewhat)**
* No opinion
* Somewhat ineffective
* Ineffective

**30. Does your Structure believe the concept of Public Comment, as currently implemented, should be re-examined?**

* Yes
* **No**

**31. Has your Structure responded to a Public Comment in the last year?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 31. How many responses has your Structure submitted to Public Comments in the last year?**

* 1
* **2**
* 5 or more
* 10 or more

**Please answer if you responded 'no' to question 31. What prevented your Structure from responding?**

* Did not have the time to produce a detailed response
* Subject was too complex
* Consultation document was too long
* Language issues
* Time to respond was too short
* Other:

**32. Would your Structure respond more often to Public Comments if the consultation included short and precise questions regarding the subject matter in a Survey Monkey or similar format?**

* **Strongly agree**
* Agree
* No opinion
* ***Disagree***
* Strongly disagree

**33. Does your Structure agree that responses made to Public Comments by individuals and external organizations/groups be considered equally?**

* **Strongly agree**
* Agree (somewhat)
* No opinion
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree

**34. Does your Structure agree that the responses made to Public Comments by SO/ACs have more weight than other comments?**

* **Strongly agree**
* ***Agree*** (as a general rule, they are more informed but perhaps that should change!)
* No opinion
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree

**35. Does your Structure agree that the responses made to Public Comments by the Board have more weight than other comments?**

* Strongly agree
* Agree
* ***No opinion***
* **Disagree**
* Strongly disagree

**36. How useful are staff reports on Public Comments?**

* Very useful
* **Useful**
* No opinion
* Not very useful
* Not useful at all

**37. Does your Structure agree that staff reports on Public Comments clearly indicate if suggestions made by the commenters were accepted and how they were included?**

* Strongly agree
* **Agree**
* No opinion
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree

**38. Does your Structure agree that staff reports on Public Comments clearly indicate if suggestions made by the commenters were rejected and the reason they were rejected?**

* Strongly agree
* **Agree**
* No opinion
* Disagree
* Strongly disagree

**SUPPORT FOR ICANN DECISIONS**

**39. Does your Structure believe the Internet community generally supports the decisions made by the Board?**

* **Yes(to the extent they understand them)**
* No

**40. Does your Structure generally support the decisions made by the Board?**

* Yes, strongly support
* **Yes, support**
* No opinion
* No, do not support
* No, strongly do not support

**POLICY DEVELOPMENT PROCESS (PDPs)**

**41. What role should SO or ACs play in fostering buy-in from their community to ICANN’s policy-making?**

**.Cross community policy development is a critical element for a wider community buy-in to any proposed policy**

**42. How could your structure improve this?**

**Advice our members/ALS contrbute to the policy development process**

**SPECIFIC AND ORGANIZATIONAL REVIEWS**

**43. How would your Structure rate the effectiveness of the specific reviews (ATRT, SSR, RDS) as they are currently structured in the ICANN Bylaws?**

* Very effective
* **Effective**
* No opinion
* *Somewhat ineffective (t*o date, implementation has been sub par)
* Ineffective

**44. Should specific reviews (ATRT, CCT, RDS, SSR) be reconsidered or amended?**

* **Yes(how recommendations are handled and how often they take place)**
* No

**Follow up is needed to ensure that the recommendations implemented is basically reflects the conerns raised by the community**

**45. How would your Structure rate the effectiveness of organizational reviews (those reviewing SO/ACs as they are currently structured in the ICANN Bylaws)?**

* Very effective
* Effective
* No opinion
* **Somewhat ineffective**
* Ineffective

**46. Should organizational reviews be reconsidered or amended?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you responded "yes" to question 46. Should organizational reviews continue to be undertaken by external consultants?**

* ***Yes***
* No

**ACCOUNTABILITY INDICATORS**

**47. Has your Structure looked at the ICANN Accountability Indicators which can be found at** [**https://www.icann.org/accountability-indicators**](https://www.icann.org/accountability-indicators)**?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 47. How would your Structure rate their usefulness overall?**

* Very useful
* **Useful**
* No opinion
* Not very useful (again transparency is NOT accountability)
* Not useful at all

**Please answer if you responded 'yes' to question 47. How would your Structure rate these for effectiveness in measuring the accountability of ICANN?**

* Very effective
* **Effective**
* No opinion
* Somewhat ineffective
* Ineffective

**PRIORITIZATION AND RATIONALIZATION OF ACTIVITIES**

**48. Should the ATRT3 make recommendations about prioritization and rationalization of ICANN activities?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'no' to question 48. Whose responsibility does your Structure think it should be?**

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 48. Should such recommendations include a process to retire recommendations as it becomes apparent that the community will never get to them or they have been overtaken by other events?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 48. Should such recommendations aim to provide a general approach for prioritizing and rationalizing work for ICANN?**

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 48. Should the mechanism for making recommendations on prioritization and rationalization only apply to PDPs, reviews and their recommendations, or include other operational aspects in ICANN?**

* PDPs and Reviews
* **Include other operational aspects**

**Please answer if you selected 'include other operational aspects' to "Should the mechanism for making recommendations on prioritization and rationalization only apply to PDPs, reviews and their recommendations, or include other operational aspects in ICANN?" What does your Structure think these other operational aspects should include?**

How effective is the ALS out-reach and engagement and effective regional representation

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 48. Should the community or representative(s) of the community be involved as a decisional participant in any mechanism which makes recommendations for prioritizing and rationalizing work for ICANN?**

* ***Yes***
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'yes' to question 48. Do you think the Empowered Community would be a good mechanism for making recommendations on prioritizing and rationalizing if its role was amended to allow this**?

* **Yes**
* No

**Please answer if you selected 'no' to the question above. Is there an existing structure which could fill this role?**

**END OF SURVEY**