

Chair's Summary Report

Comments on 2nd Finance Working Group Final Draft Report

Comment Period and Background information

The comment period ran from 15 July 2025 to 31 July 2025. The ccTLD community was informed by email.

The WG organized a webinar on Thursday, 17 July 2025 at 12:00 UTC to introduce the report (the [recording and slides are available](#)).

The comments are captured on a dedicated wiki page¹

Comments received

The WG received the following comments:

Name	Affiliation	Date
Roelof Meijer	SIDN	Jul 16, 2025
Hank Nussbacher	ISOC-IL	Jul 21, 2025
Josh Tabish	CIRA	Jul 31, 2025

Summary of Comments

Roelof Meijer, on behalf of SIDN, supported the work and recommendations of the WG. He did raise that the report was not consistent with what is defined as band A. In earlier versions of the model it was defined as > 10 million DUM, and final draft as > 5 million DUM.

1

<https://icann-community.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/ccnsowkspc/pages/370212970/ccTLD+Comments+on+Second+Finance+WG+Draft+Final+Report>

Hank Nussbacher, raised that ISOC-IL had always paid its share of the financial contribution to ICANN, until such time when ICANN was not able to update the Public Suffix List.

Josh Tabish, on behalf of CIRA, expressed support by CIRA of the goal of ensuring a fair and equitable financial contribution model for voluntary ccTLD contributions to ICANN. CIRA agrees with the conclusions and recommendations included in the draft Final Report. Specifically, CIRA supports the proposed refinements to the principles in Section 4, including the recommendation that ICANN adopt a more segmented and personalized approach when seeking voluntary contributions from individual ccTLDs.

Analysis of Comments

The WG welcomes the support expressed by SIDN and CIRA, specifically for the refinements of the principles and the more segmented and personalized approach to seek voluntary contributions from individual ccTLDs.

With respect to the comment from ISOC-IL the WG notes that it is not mandated to deal with the substance of the matter. However, the WG appreciates that ISOC-IL shared its reasons for changing its final contributions to ICANN, and considers the issue raised in the submission a case in point for the segmented and personalized approach to seek voluntary contributions from individual ccTLDs.

Regarding the observation that the final report was not consistent with respect to the definition of band A. The WG added an additional paragraph to section 4 Banded Model of the Report to provide an explanation why the WG did not pursue refining the highest band (> 5 million DUM).

Next steps

The WG has updated its draft Final Report, which will be submitted to the ccNSO Council for its consideration. If adopted the WG considers its tasks completed.