

ccNSO Council Telephone Conference
26 April 2018

Attendees:

AF

Abdalla Omari, .ke
Souleymane Oumtanaga, .ci

AP

Debbie Monahan, .nz
Hiro Hotta, .jp

EU

Katrina Sataki, .lv
Peter Vergote, .be
Nigel Roberts, .je, .gg

LAC

Alejandra Reynoso, .gt
Margarita Valdes, .cl

NA

Byron Holland, .ca
Stephen Deerhake, .as

NomCom

Christelle Vaval
Jian Zhang

Liaisons/Observers

Philippe Fouquart, GNSO

Regional Organizations

Barrack Otieno, AfTLD

ICANN Staff

Bart Boswinkel
Kim Carlson
Joke Braeken

1 Welcome and Apologies

Attendance list is available at: <http://ccnso.icann.org/about/council/attendance.htm>

Apologies: Leonid Todorov, Abibu Ntahigiye, Demi Getschko

Did not attend - no apology sent: Young Eum Lee, Pablo Rodriguez

The ccNSO Council Chair welcomed Philippe Fouquart, the new GNSO liaison to the ccNSO Council, to the call.

2 Minutes and Actions

Minutes 14 March 2018 meeting. Circulated 3 April 2018.

No comments received

Some Action items remain open and ongoing (to be discussed later in the call).

3 Overview inter-meeting Council decision

The ccNSO Council Chair reviewed the following inter-meeting decisions:

- Appointment additional members SOPC
- Appointment additional member PDP Retirement WG
- Appointment additional members GRC
- Adoption timeline and selection method ccNSO liaison to GNSO

4 Adoption Work Plan 2018-2020

The ccNSO Council Chair referenced the mind map used during the recent Council workshop. The new mind map reflects some of the results from the workshop in San Juan. She noted once the high-level overview is completed, the Council will need to work on monthly work plans.

RESOLUTION 141-01:

The ccNSO Council adopts the annual work plan as reflected in the ccNSO Activities Portfolio 2018-2020. The Secretariat is requested to publish it and inform the community accordingly. The Secretariat is further requested to provide the monthly work plan as soon as feasible following adoption of the annual work plan and in accordance with the relevant ccNSO Guideline.

Moved by Stephen Deerhake

Seconded by Peter Vergote

Passed unanimously

5 Next steps discussion balancing workload and volunteer capacity

The ccNSO Council Chair noted this was discussed in San Juan and a summary was included in the background material. A follow-up session was suggested for Panama City.

Peter Vergote asked if other SOAC have the same issue of workload balance and is there something they can share and Council can learn from.

The ccNSO Chair stated other SOACs apparently have the same issue of volunteer fatigue and finding the right approach to motivate community involvement. The ccNSO must be able to demonstrate the value and incentive of participation.

Philippe Fouquart added same issues exist within the GNSO, however it's not necessarily having enough volunteers [as in some cases, there are too many], it's making sure they are driven correctly and having a consensus building process ensured.

Bart Boswinkel noted, in reference to the mind map, an output of the Council workshop is to ask people why they do not participate and have a session in Panama around this topic (and Council to suggest lightweight recommendations).

Action Item 141-01:

Councilors are asked to volunteer to organize this session or to put forward ideas.

6 Structuring next steps of discussion of ccTLD related Disaster Recovery planning

The ccNSO Council Chair thanked Hiro Hotta and Pablo Rodriguez for their summaries on disaster recovery and planning. Feedback on the session received was positive. It was suggested to have the TLD-OPS Committee involved in planning a session in Panama (in coordination with the Tech Working group). It is important to include best practices on not just the technical aspects, but on business continuity and the "human aspects".

Bryon Holland added that Jacques Latour sent an email to the ccNSO mailing list as part of his follow up after the committee call. The email noted there was interest in the community to focus on disaster recovery planning and believes this session will include technical, business continuity and the "people side".

Alejandra Reynoso suggested a note to the community list asking for feedback on how to shape this session and what to include.

Action Item 141-03:

Follow up with the TLD-OPS Committee and Tech working group on their involvement in these sessions.

Action Item 141-04:

Email to the community asking for suggestions on what to include in the disaster recovery session [and active involvement from the Programme Working Group]

7 Study Group Emoji: Need for call for volunteers?

The ccNSO Council Chair reminded all there was discussion and presentations in San Juan on the use of emoji as top-level domains. SSAC has done research and shared their findings and concerns. Within the ccNSO community, some believed it would be worthwhile to have a study group, while others did not think it was necessary because SSAC has already done extensive work on this. The idea of this working group is not to repeat research that has already been completed, but to ask those who are already offering this, their reasons and if they know of and willing to accept the risks.

The ccNSO Council Chair suggested moving forward with this study group and issue a call for volunteers [and proceed with intended scope].

RESOLUTION 141-02:

The ccNSO Council requests the secretariat to send out a call for volunteers as soon as possible. The Chair is requested to inform ICANN and SSAC about this step accordingly and invite relevant ICANN Org staff and individuals from SSAC who are interested to participate in the Study Group in a way they fit.

Action Item 141-05:

Secretariat to send out call for volunteers for study group on use of emoji as top-level domain and to inform ICANN and SSAC.

Moved by Bryon Holland

Seconded by Hiro Hotta

Passed unanimously

8 Update SSR 2

The ccNSO Council Chair Informed Council the SOAC Chairs have had several calls discussing SSR2. There are new people appointed to the group from SSAC, ALAC and GNSO. The ICANN Board is now tasked with finding a facilitator, so the group can be “un-paused”.

9 Special Elections European Region

The ccNSO Council Chair noted there are two scenarios for the Council to consider – with the assumption there will be a Q&A session (in either Panama or Barcelona). She presented the two available scenarios – expressing her preference with scenario 2. Scenario 2 will run the special election in parallel with the regular election and Q&A in Barcelona (EU region).

Stephen Deerhake stated uncertainty that the ICANN Bylaws will allow moving this later in the year. His preference is to stick to a “rational” interpretation of the Bylaws, and start the process sooner rather than later.

The ccNSO Council Chair expressed that she does not believe her interpretation of the Bylaws are the same.

Alejandra Reynoso asked about the logistics and if the elections will run concurrent during scenario 2.

The ccNSO Council Chair confirmed the election would run in parallel – as its been done in the past.

Bart Boswinkel noted his interpretation is that at this stage, an election schedule must be established. Is it the moment there is a vacancy or the announcement there will be a vacancy, which needs further interpretation.

Byron Holland added agrees with Nigel Roberts [who commented in chat], the word “shall” means “must”. But agrees with Bart, that a schedule must be established, but does not believe there is anything prescriptive to how the schedule looks.

Stephen Deerhake posed the question of how long should the European region be underrepresented since Nigel Roberts will leave after the Panama meeting.

Preference from Council is to take this vote to the mailing list (which scenario). Nigel Robert abstained from expressing preference.

10 PDP Retirement

Stephen Deerhake updated the Council on the PDP working group. Noted the group has been using mind maps, produced from the flip charts from San Juan. They are hoping to have substantive language by Panama. He will reach out to the GAC chair on having GAC participation/representation.

Nigel Roberts expressed support for the need to have GAC participation/representation.

The ccNSO Council Chair suggested discussing GAC participation during the joint session in Panama where a more thorough explanation of what ccTLD are and what they do – including explanation of codes.

11 ECA, RZERC Update

11.1 ECA (Stephen Deerhake)

11.2 RZERC Update (placeholder)

12 ccNSO Organizational Review update

Informational

Background Material. Draft Scope document and tentative timeline

13 CSC related Topics

13.1 General CSC update (Byron)

Byron Holland updated the Council on the activities of the CSC. He noted they continue to have regular meetings and that PTI continues to meet their metrics. An additional element is the adoption of the remedial action procedures. The independent charter review has also been concluded and is out for public comment.

13.2 CSC Charter review

Preliminary report has been published. Bart Boswinkel noted the main recommendations are not to change their scope or remit and to mandate the CSC to make minor changes to the service levels.

13.2.a Update current state of affairs (Abdalla)

13.2. b Next Steps: Preparing for joint decision making.

The ccNSO Council Chair reminded Council they would have to approve the recommended changes to the charter, jointly with GNSO Council (according to their own rules and procedures)

Philippe Fouquart asked for clarification on the timeline for approval.

Bart Boswinkel suggested checking with Donna Austin from the GNSO who is coordinating the review team. The intention is to have the Councils vote on the final report [and amended charter] in Panama.

Action Item 141-06:

A small group will need to be established who understand the CSC process to liaise with the GNSO – to include at least one Councilor.

13.3 Upcoming CSC members Selection process

The ccNSO Council Chair also noted the Council must select members to the CSC, then coordinate the selection with the RySG then approve the full slate (taking place in September). Two members were selected, one for two years and one for three years. There will also be, in parallel, a call for liaisons. RySG will select their member – GNSO and ccNSO Council will then be asked to approve the full slate of candidates.

13.4 Overlap CSC Effectiveness review and first IANA Function Review

The ccNSO Council Chair noted that in the Charter Review report *“There is concern about the potential burden that these simultaneous reviews could place on the CSC, as well as the real possibility of significant overlap of the two reviews. The RT recommends that the ccNSO and GNSO Councils conduct an analysis of the requirements of the reviews with a view to creating synergies and avoiding overlap.”*

14 Adoption Final Report ccNSO Meeting Strategy Review Team

The ccNSO Council Chair discussed the session in San Juan and online survey. From this, the review team made recommendations and issued a report.

Alejandra Reynoso clarified points detailed in the final report.

RESOLUTION 141-03:

The ccNSO welcomes the final report of the ccNSO Meeting Strategy Review Working Group and thanks the group for its hard work and timely report. The ccNSO Council adopts the recommendations contained in the report, and will send them to the ccNSO meeting Programme WG and will request that group to implement the recommendations to the extent reasonably feasible.

Moved by Stephen Deerhake

Seconded by Byron Holland

Passed unanimously.

15 ICANN 62

The ccNSO Council Chair reviewed the Council meetings and joint sessions.

15.1 ccNSO Council meetings

Monday, 25 June (Day 1)

12:15-13:30 – ccNSO Council Preparatory Meeting (lunch)

Monday, 25 June 2018 (Day 1)

17:00-18:30 – Joint ccNSO / GNSO (with cocktail)

Topics: CSC charter update, initiating CSC Effectiveness review, progress cross-community groups (Auction Proceeds, Internet Governance)

Tuesday, 26 June 2018 (Day 2)

13:30:15-16:15 – Joint ccNSO / GAC

Topics meeting with GAC?

Wednesday 27 June 2018 (Day 2) 17:00-18:30 or Thursday 28 June 2018 (Day 4) 9.00-10.30: ccNSO Council Face to Face Meeting. Depends on topic session. 7

15.2 ICANN62 ccNSO Meetings Agenda (Alejandra)

Alejandra Reynoso reviewed the ccNSO block schedule as well as members meeting agenda.

The ccNSO Council Chair explained to the Council the SOAC production call, including overall structure of the meeting. With discussion on cross community sessions/high interest topics. She further explained the voting of the topics and whether topics should be “conflicted” or “non-conflicted”. The ccNSO representation during these calls believes none of the session should be considered “non-conflicted” – “forcing” people to attend sessions that are of little interest.

The ccNSO Council Chair also described the continued difficulties around planning the cocktail/outreach event. For Panama, we do not have adequate funding but thanks those who have already contributed. Many believe this is an important part of the meetings, but only a few contribute.

16 Council Updates

16.1 Chair Update

15.2 Vice-Chair Update

15.3 Councilors Update

15.4 Regional Organizations Update

15.5 Secretariat update

16 WG update

16.1 GRC update (Katrina)

16.2 CCWG Auction Proceeds (Peter Vergote)

16.3 CCWG Internet Governance (Young Eum)

16.5 ccNSO Meeting Strategy review

17 Liaison Updates

17.1 GNSO Update

17.2 ALAC update

18) Next meetings (2018)

24 May – 12:00 UTC

27 or 28 June – face to face, Panama City

23 August – 12:00 UTC (July and Aug combined)

20 September 18:00 UTC

October – face to face, Barcelona (date tbd)

15 November – 11:00 UTC

13 December – 17:00 UTC

19 AOB

20 Closure