

Draft Statement ccNSO Council

Version 01

Adopted by Council: [insert date]

The ccNSO Council welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Initial Report of the IANA Function Review Team (IFRT). Please note that comments from the ccNSO Council do not reflect the views of the ccNSO as a whole, nor of individual ccNSO members.

1. General Comment

The ccTLD community is one of the key customer groups of the IANA Naming Function and as such relies on PTI, and how PTI executes the IANA Naming Function Contract.

The ccNSO Council commends the IFRT with the method it has developed to conduct the Review. The Council believes that such a systematic approach proves that a solid, verifiable review can be undertaken effectively within a relatively short time-frame.

2. Findings by the IFRT

The ccNSO Council is very pleased to note that the IFRT has found that PTI is operating with a great deal of operational efficiency and is serving the needs of the IANA customers. The Council is also very pleased that the IFRT has identified no major areas of deficiency or operational improvement that PTI has not already identified internally or in conjunction with the CSC.

3. Recommendations

The ccNSO Council supports all the Recommendations 1 through 4:

1. The IFRT recommends that PTI publishes the IANA functions transition plan as required by the IANA Naming Function Contract
2. The IFRT recommends that the Annual Attestation of the PTI President that PTI has complied with the requirements of Section 6.1 of the IANA Naming Function Contract be posted on iana.org annually
3. The IFRT in conjunction with the CSC has identified a duplication in the ICANN Bylaws. The remedial action procedures as generated by the CSC and PTI are referred to as components in the initiation of the Special IFR as outlined in Section 18.12.a of the ICANN bylaws. However, the CSC and the IFRT have identified that section 18.12.a (ii) is redundant as the RAP and the IANA problem resolution process were combined into a single set of procedures (the RAPs) by the CSC.

The ICANN Board should consider removing the redundant section 18.12.a (ii)

4. In Article 7 Section 7.1 (a) the IFRT recommends that this statement, "The relevant policies under which the changes are made shall be noted within each monthly report", be removed from the contract, as it is a legacy statement from the NTIA contract that is no longer required. Implementation of this requirement has long been recognized as being operationally impracticable, ever since the time of the

NTIA contract, and the IFRT is satisfied that its continued inclusion in the Contract adds no value to the reports.

The ccNSO Council also concurs and supports with the proposed expected due dates for implementation, the evaluation criteria and priority.

If and when needed the ccNSO Council will cooperate with whomever necessary and do whatever is needed to assist with the implementation of the Recommendations 1-4.

On behalf of the ccNSO Council

Katrina Sataki, Chair