

ccNSO Council report by ALAC Liaison November 16th 2010

The ALAC - GAC - GNSO Cross-community Working Group on Implementation of GNSO New gTLD Recommendation No. 6 (as described in the Draft Applicant Guidebook-v4.), issued its report on September 21st 2010 [CWG report Rec6]:

gns0.icann.org/issues/new-gtlds/report-rec6-cwg-21sep10-en.pdf

A public comment period on the report closed on October 22nd 2010

ICANN staff posted those comments on October 23rd 2010, and summarized the comments on October 26th 2010 [note NCSG endorsed ALAC comments and Paul Twomey's comments]:

<http://forum.icann.org/lists/cwg-report-rec6/>

- 2010 Oct 26
 - [Summary of Comments](#) Marc Salvatierra
- 2010 Oct 23
 - [Some observations and recommendations on the Recommendation Number Six Cross-Working Group Report](#) Paul Twomey
 - [NCSG support for CWG recommendatons.](#) Avri Doria
 - [ALAC Statement on the Community Working Group Report on Implementation of GNSO New gTLD Recommendation Number 6](#) Heidi Ullrich
- 2010 Oct 22
 - [IPC Comments](#) J. Scott Evans
 - [Comments on the Rec 6 CWG report from Internet Society of China](#) 曹华平
 - [On Controversial Strings](#) Danny Younger

The New gTLD Program Explanatory Memorandum is posted here:

<http://www.icann.org/en/topics/new-gtlds/explanatory-memo-morality-public-order-12nov10-en.pdf>

The redlined copy of the Proposed Final Version (Module 3) of the Applicant Guidebook was posted by ICANN Staff on November 12th 2010 here:

<http://www.icann.org/en/topics/new-gtlds/draft-dispute-resolution-procedures-redline-12nov10-en.pdf>

Informal reaction within the At Large community includes the following:

“The sub-contracted Dispute Resolution Service Provider -- now renamed the Dispute Resolution Administrator -- still exists, and many, many other changes have been rejected. Not ignored, but explicitly rejected by staff”.

The general consensus is that ICANN staff is ignoring the Cross-community Working Group recommendations and that, the ICANN “bottom-up mandate notwithstanding”, staff decisions will be passed to the Board for approval.

The IGF forum's response by Milton Mueller is:

http://blog.internetgovernance.org/blog/_archives/2010/11/14/4679990.htmlwhile

Respectfully tendered (don't shoot the messenger),

Ron Sherwood, ccNSO/ALAC Liaison