

## ccNSO repository for Bylaw changes

### 1. Introduction

At times the ccNSO is made aware of the potential need for an ICANN Bylaw change. To capture this, and to maintain an action list and repository of required changes, the ccNSO maintains this Bylaw change repository.

The following will be captured:

- **Item:** number of change
- **Description:** Brief description of change needed.
- **Bylaw Section:** Reference to the section in the ICANN Bylaws Bylaw
- **Bylaw text:** The text in the Bylaw
- **Issue:** Rationale to ask for change
- **Kind of Bylaw:** Fundamental, Standard, has implication whether it needs to be approved by EC or only open for rejection. Fundamental Bylaws are included in list of section 25.2 (a) of the ICANN Bylaws
- **Change process:** Is PDP required/ request Board, other way
- **Priority:** urgency and importance for ccTLD community
- **Change update:** Expected start of change process current status.

## 2. Repository

Item	Description	Bylaw section	Bylaw text	Issue	Kind of Bylaw	Change mechanism	Priority	Change time
1.	<p>Definition of ccNSO members. Identified by PDP WG Retirement. Additional issue, reference on overall IDN PDP WG. See letter chair PDP WG: <a href="https://ccnso.icann.org/en/about/deerhake-to-council-13jan19-en.pdf">https://ccnso.icann.org/en/about/deerhake-to-council-13jan19-en.pdf</a></p>	Article 10.4	<p>For purposes of this <u>Article 10</u>, a ccTLD manager is the organization or entity responsible for managing an ISO 3166 country-code top-level domain, or under any later variant, for that country-code top-level domain.</p>	<p>Highlighted part does not make sense. No consultation ccNSO. Original: For purposes of this Article, a ccTLD manager is the organization or entity responsible for managing an ISO 3166 country-code top-level domain and referred to in the IANA database under the current heading of "Sponsoring Organization", or under any later variant, for that country-code top-level domain.</p> <p>Underlying issue: reference to Sponsoring</p>	S	<p>Letter to the Board, requesting change.</p> <p>Included in request Bylaw to enable IDNccTLD Mangers as members of the ccNSO</p>	High (urgent and important).	Start Dec. 2019

Item	Description	Bylaw section	Bylaw text	Issue	Kind of Bylaw	Change mechanism	Priority	Change time
				Organisation as included in IANA database				
2.	<p>Reference to non-ccNSO member for IFRT. See letters Council to the Board: <a href="https://ccnso.icann.org/sites/default/files/filed-attached/sataki-to-chalaby-et-al-06dec18-en.pdf">https://ccnso.icann.org/sites/default/files/filed-attached/sataki-to-chalaby-et-al-06dec18-en.pdf</a> .</p> <p>Issue not only relevant for current and future IFRTs, also for Special IFR.</p>	<p>Section 18.7 (a) &amp; (b)</p> <p>Section 18.12 (b) referencing section 18.7 (a) and (b)</p>	<p>One non-ccNSO ccTLD representative who is associated with a ccTLD registry operator that is not a representative of the ccNSO, appointed by the ccNSO</p>	<p>With growing number of members, attracting non-ccNSO member becomes difficult, if not impossible. See overview of membership as well</p>	F	<p>Request Board to change after consultation of community. Bylaw change must be supported by the Empowered Community</p>	<p>Medium (important, needs to be resolved in next 2 years)</p>	<p>Letter to the Board send. Public comment closed 16 July 2019. Board approved Bylaw change (September 2019) . Approved by ECA November 2019</p>
3.	<p>Reference to non-ccNSO member for Special Cross-community WG for separation</p>	<p>Section 19.5 SCWG Composition</p>	<p>One non-ccNSO ccTLD representative who is associated with a ccTLD registry operator that is not a representative of the ccNSO, appointed by the ccNSO;</p>	<p>With growing number of members, attracting non-ccNSO member has proven to be very difficult, if not impossible. In event of SCWG high risk</p>	F	<p>Request Board to change after consultation of community</p>	<p>Medium (important, needs to be resolved in next 2 years)</p>	

Item	Description	Bylaw section	Bylaw text	Issue	Kind of Bylaw	Change mechanism	Priority	Change time
4.	Change of reference in Section 4.3 ( IRP) to delegation and Redelgation	Section 4.3 ( c ) (ii)	Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section 4.3, the IRP's scope shall exclude all of the following:  (ii) Claims relating to ccTLD delegations and re-delegations. ...	Language in Bylaws is not consistent with language FoI and IAAN NAMng Function Contract. Should be: Delegations, transfers, revocations and retirement ( after adoption of the policy)	F	Request Board to change after consultation of community	Medium (important, needs to be resolved when ccPDP 3 is submitted to the Board or as part of it as recommendation by ccPDP 2 WG 2)	
5.	Change of Article 10 to enable inclusion of IDNccTLD Managers as members ccNSO	Article 10.4 (a) and related sections	Defintion of ccNSO Mmembership. Introduction of Emissaries, adjustement of Annex B	To date IDNccTLD Managers are not eligible as members of the ccNSO. If 2 or more ccTLD Maangers in one country, potential imbalance of power	S after PDP	Letter to the Board	High (important, urgent and high impact on ccNSO/ ccTLDs)	Start Dec. 2019