

ccNSO Council Meeting
14 February 2019

Attendees:

AF

Abdalla Omari, .ke
Abibu Ntahigiye, .tz
Souleymane Oumtanaga, .ci (audio only)

AP

Debbie Monahan
Hiro Hotta, .jp

EU

Katrina Sataki, .lv
Giovanni Seppia, .eu
Peter Vergote, .be

LAC

Alejandra Reynoso, .gt
Demi Getschko, .br

NA

Byron Holland, .ca
Pablo Rodriguez, .pr
Stephen Deerhake, .as

NomCom

Laura Margolis
Ajay Data
Jian Zhang

Liaisons/Observers

Miguel Ignacio Estrada
Phillippe Fouquart
Barrack Otieno
Biyi Oladipo

ICANN Staff

Bart Boswinkel
Kim Carlson
Maria Otanés

Joke Braeken

1. Welcome and Apologies

Attendance list is available at: <http://ccnso.icann.org/about/council/attendance.htm>

Apologies: Margarita Valdes

Call was quorate

2 Minutes and Actions

Minutes circulated 29 January 2019, no comments received

Action items were reviewed

3 Overview inter-meeting Council decisions

No Intersessional decisions

4 Appointment ccNSO Liaisons to GNSO and ALAC

The Chair of the ccNSO Council stated a second round of voting was required for the ccNSO liaison to the GNSO. No further voting was required for the ccNSO liaison to ALAC.

The ccNSO Council chose to move forward, approving the liaison to the ALAC (while waiting voting results for liaison to the GNSO)

RESOLUTION 148-01:

In accordance with the Guideline: ccNSO Liaisons and Observers

(<https://ccnso.icann.org/sites/default/files/field-attached/guideline-liaisons-observers-06dec18-en.pdf>)

as adopted by Council, the following person was selected by Council:

Segun Akano, (.ng). as liaison to the ALAC.

Liaison will take their seat at the end of the ccNSO Council meeting in Kobe, Japan and invited to attend the ccNSO Council workshop. The chair is requested to inform the ALAC of the appointment and the secretariat is requested to add him to the relevant ccNSO email lists.

Moved by Alejandra Reynoso

Seconded by Demi Getschko

Passed unanimously

Action Item 148-01:

The chair is requested to inform the ALAC of the appointment and the secretariat is requested to add the liaison to the relevant ccNSO email lists

5 Appointment volunteers SOPC

The Chair of the ccNSO Council stated there were three volunteers for the SOPC and proposes to appoint all three.

Background

The SOPC has reviews its current membership and would like to seek new members to ensure continuity. The secretariat launched a call for volunteers and the following persons put their name forward:

Dmitry Kohmanyuk (.ua)

Biyi Oladipo (.ng)

Atsushi Endo (.jp)

In addition, and for the record, **Nicholas Lisse applied to be observer to the group. To become an observer does not require an action from Council.**

RESOLUTION 148-02:

The ccNSO Council appoints the listed candidates as members of the SOPC for a term of 2 years taking effect immediately. The ccNSO Council requests the Secretariat to inform the candidates and include them on the relevant email list and list them on the SOPC webpage.

Moved by Hiro Hotta

Seconded by Giovanni Seppia

Passed unanimously

Action Item 148-02:

Secretariat to inform the candidates and include them on the relevant email list and list them on the SOPC webpage.

6 Update ccTLD World email procedure

Joke Braeken updated the ccNSO Council on proposed amendments to ccTLD World email list.

7 ccNSO related ICANN Bylaw changes

7.1 Repository to be maintained by the ccNSO

As seen in the background material, the Chair of the ccNSO Council noted, work has started summarizing all the changes necessary to the bylaws.

7.2 Change definition of ccNSO membership

Chair of the PDP WG [Stephen Deerhake] “discovered” the definition of ccTLD could be changed and should be corrected in the bylaws. Proposal to further consult the ccNSO members [during members meeting].

Background. The membership definition of the ccNSO was changed late in the CWG Stewardship process.

Change process. As Article x is not a fundamental Bylaw, it follows the pattern of a regular Bylaw change, open to rejection action by the Decisional participants.

7.3 Change ccNSO Council appointed members requirement IFRT (section 18 ICANN Bylaws)

The Chair of the ccNSO Council noted the IFRT was one of the mechanisms in the bylaws to assure that the IANA naming function serves its purpose. The ccTLD community will be represented by three members on the IFRT – 2 ccNSO members, and 1 ccTLD that is not a member. It proved difficult to find a non-member representative. The ccNSO proposed moving forward with two members and one interim representative [member] until a non-ccNSO member steps forward. The requirement to find a non-member needs to be changed, as it will become increasingly difficult to find a non-member as the ccNSO membership grows.

Regarding the IFRT appointments, the Council was informed there may be an objection to appointing an interim representative [by one of the appointing groups] as it would be against the bylaws. Technically this is correct, however the main idea of the composition is that ccTLDs have three representatives.

The Chair of the ccNSO Council further noted, no proposal from ICANN Org has been received as to the way forward.

Regarding 7.1, Stephen Deerhake added supports the ideas for the repository.

Action Item 148-03:

Secretariat to publish repository on Council wiki page.

8 Adoption ccNSO Terms of Reference ccNSO Internet Governance Liaison Committee

The Chair of the ccNSO Council stated as a reminder, the ccNSO decided to withdraw from the Cross Community Working Group on Internet Governance. It was decided, instead, to create an internet governance liaison committee. [Draft terms of reference circulated in background material]

RESOLUTION 148-03:

The ccNSO Council adopts the Term of Reference for the ccNSO Internet Governance Liaison committee as proposed. The Council requests the secretariat to publish the resolution and Term of Reference as soon as possible and send out a call for volunteers.

Moved by Pablo Rodriguez

Seconded by Demi Getschko

Passed unanimously

Action Item 148-04:

The secretariat to publish Terms of Reference (IG liaison committee) and send out call for volunteers.

9 Support Approach joint ccNSO – SSAC Working Party re Risk Mitigation Panel Fast Track Process

The Chair of the ccNSO Council stated the working party developed documents and reviewed risk mitigation plan submitted by [EURid about the dot-eu in Greek]. A cover letter was also drafted. The WP is awaiting feedback from the full SSAC. If they have no objections, material will be sent to entire Council.

10 CSC Effectiveness Review

10.1 Update of Review (Debbie)

Debbie Monahan updated the Council: she believes the Chair did a good job summarizing in the email [Council statement].

10.2 ccNSO Council statement

11 PDP Retirement Update

Stephen Deerhake updated the Council: the working group has moved into discussion of proposed written policy. The hope is to have something by the AGM in the Fall, but it might extend beyond that. Progress is being made – facts are being debated. Council is welcome to observe/attend calls.

12 ccNSO organizational review

The Chair of the ccNSO Council noted there will be a call with the independent examiner on the 19th. The IE will also present their draft finding in Kobe.

13 ECA, CSC and RZERC Update

13.1 ECA (Stephen Deerhake)

Stephen Deerhake updated the Council: [We're] in a rejection action with regards to the IANA budget – but does not anticipate anything happen here. There has also been a change in representation.

13.2 CSC (Byron)

Byron Holland updated the Council: At the last meeting of the CSC, we noted that the report from IANA was 100% clean following a 98.4% report from the month before, so they continue to track it at a very high level.

Originally, there was no travel funding for the CSC members because the idea was that the members would already be at the ICANN meeting because of their respective day jobs. This has not been the case. The CSC has made a budget request of ICANN to support travel funding.

The service level agreement change mechanism needs Board approval, which they hope happens in Kobe.

The remedial action procedures are in the final stages and the IANA survey has been completed.

Stephen Deerhake asked if there was something the Council could do to show support for the requested travel funding.

Byron Holland stated, maybe a letter in support would be helpful.

13.3 RZERC (placeholder)

14 ICANN64 Kobe

14.1 ccNSO Council meetings

The Chair of the ccNSO Council reviewed the following Council sessions in Kobe:

- *Council Workshop (Sunday, block 1 and 2)*
- *Council Prep Meeting (Sunday lunch)*
- *Council meeting with ALAC (Sunday, 17.00-17.45)*
- *Council meeting GNSO (Monday lunch)*

- *Council meeting (Wednesday, block 5, other, smaller room)*

Note that the Council will need to select its chair and two (2) vice-chairs

14.2 Council Workshop: topics for discussion

Facilitated workshop

- *Workplan 2019-2020*
- *Table top exercises: Rejection Action Process & Triage Committee*
- *Expectations of role of a councilor*
- *Assignment Roles and Responsibilities Councilors*

Please note the included overview of current roles and responsibilities.

14.3 ICANN64 ccNSO Meetings Agenda (Alejandra)

Alejandra Reynoso reviewed the ccNSO-related sessions as well as draft agenda for the member meeting days.

- Members day meetings
- Meeting with GAC
- Meeting the ICANN Board: Suggest topics for meeting with Board?

14.4 WG & other meetings

- Tech Day (Monday)
- CSC meeting (Monday morning)
- WG meetings (starting Saturday!!)
- ccNSO Cocktail

There was additional discussion on agenda topics for the joint sessions with GAC including: report on ccPDP, DNS over HTTPS and discussion about future meetings.

Joint session with the ICANN Board: what criteria does they use to evaluate whether an activity is in line with ICANN's mission?

Giovanni Seppia added: idea to reiterate the message to the executive team and ask them what mechanisms they have in place to improve coordination between departments and ensure more consistency when it comes to achieving goals and subsequently objectives.

The Chair of the ccNSO Council further clarified, question to the Board is about criteria, what criteria do you use when you discuss strategic objectives of ICANN to ensure that they are within the scope and mission of ICANN. And to the Exec team: how they ensure interdepartmental collaboration and a common understanding of strategy [formulation of question still to be done].

Debbie Monahan suggested asking the ICANN Board their thoughts on IFRT representation from the ccTLD community.

The Chair of the ccNSO Council added there appears to be three technical sessions that running in parallel with Tech Day. Tech Working Group supports asking the groups to move if possible. If no objections, the

Council will inquire about having these sessions moved.

15 Council Updates

15.1 Chair Update

Background: notes ccNSO Chair and vice-chairs call with CEO & president ICANN. Discussions with the community on strategic planning have started.

15.2 Vice-Chair Update

15.3 Councilors Update

Pablo Rodriguez noted appreciation for continued support in promoting open leadership positions and sharing with the community [and invites all Councilors to participate in the outreach effort]. Additionally, LACTLD is having a workshop in Puerto Rico in August. They will celebrate their 30th anniversary and will reach out to ccTLD operators from the Caribbean who are not currently members of the ccNSO or LACTLD.

15.4 Regional Organizations Update

15.5 Secretariat update

16 WG update

16.1 GRC update (Katrina)

The Chair of the ccNSO Council noted the GRC is working on the bylaw change repository and Work Stream 2 recommendations.

16.2 CCWG Auction Proceeds (Peter Vergote) – per AC Chat:

Peter Vergote: The WG had a meeting yesterday (I wasn't able to join due to other meetings) and discussed the feedback to be given after the public consultation. From the meeting notes I see steady progress on the way to finalize the first step towards Kobe meeting. Still couple calls before Kobe so further progress is expected.

16.3 SOPC (Giovanni)

Giovanni Seppia stated the SOPC produced three consolidated comments. One is on ICANN's proposal to switch to two-year basis planning. Responded to two questions – is it smart to move to a two-year planning process? What we responded is we may prefer a high-level document instead of a preliminary draft document as ICANN is proposing in terms of strategic planning operating plan.

Another question that was asked in the 2-year planning process proposal is what barriers are at present preventing a further community engagement in the planning processes? We have responded that the ccTLDs are a very operational, time-starved community, and therefore, their representatives are busy with their day-to-day business. The SOPC also noted ICANN should work more on the quality of the document and on the accessibility of the document.

FY20 operating plan and budget comment – the SOPC highlighted that there is a continuous progress and improvements in terms of providing more KPIs and metrics for the different goals and the various actions.

Five-year strategic plan comment – SOPC acknowledged there is a good level of clarity when explain the relevance of the five-year strategic objectives, however it is not clear how the five strategic objectives and their respective goals have been selected and approved within ICANN – ICANN must better explain those goals and objectives.

16.4 Emoji Study Group (Alejandra)

17 Liaison Updates

17.1 GNSO Update (written update)

17.2 ALAC update (written update)

18) Next meetings (2019)

- March – Kobe (9-14 March)
- April 18th
- May 16 or 23rd
- June – Marrakech (24-27 June)
- July/August – 22 August
- September 19
- October 17th
- November -Montreal (2-7 November)
- December 19th

19 AOB

Kimberly Carlson informed Council Zoom would be used when meetings resume after Kobe

20 Closure

|