

Looking back at ICANN80


Overview survey results

1. MPC feedback during the meeting itself

What went well?	What can be improved?	Any other comments or remarks?
AR: All is going according to plan 😊	Some presenters should be speaking slower (we do tell them all the time)	Minor A/V issue at the first ccTLD News Session
Everything related to the convention center	Emphasize the need for presenters to pay attention to the screen alerts	YT: Using Mentimeter for collecting questions effective; however, since it requires time for inputting, it's necessary to allocate extra time.
YT: It's good to start by asking an icebreaking question to encourage the use of Mentimeter.	AR: Presenters who don't attend dry-runs should be moved to the bottom of the list, so they don't mess with the flow at the beginning.	YT: Regarding World Cafe, if possible, I think knowing the theme in advance would help participants prepare their thoughts and deepen the discussion.
SO: I find the session well done	AR: New features should be tested in dry-runs.	YT: I don't think we had many opportunities to use the interpreter. (I'm not sure if this is a good thing or a bad thing.)
SO: I really appreciate the diversity of languages, which makes it easier to understand.	AR: Better communication between session chair and secretariat on questions being displayed.	SO: We need to improve the choice of subjects presented. offer more diversity.
	AR: Ranking Very important, important, neutral, etc... is strange. Should be a one choice.	
	YT: Not only speakers but also who comment and ask questions should also follow the Code of Conduct. (At the very least, they should state their name and affiliation first.)	
Everything went well.	Give speakers a little more time. I	
Think it was a good session!	Running the slides seemed to have some problem?	
	YT: I think it is better to avoid speaking for nearly 10 minutes without slides.	
	JB: Session chair should be in zoom room, and acknowledge those participating remotely	
	YT: Some participants at the floor should be speaking slowly.	
	AR: Long breaks hurt the flow of the world café. Momentum is lost, people get distracted and/or leave.	

2. Community feedback

a. Mentimeter polls at session end




b. Post-meeting satisfaction survey

https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-vr60JjeBORB3WaULRYDB3g_3D_3D/

Q1. On a scale from 1 to 5, how satisfied are you with the recent ccNSO Members Meeting? (5 being very satisfied)


	99	100
98	5	100
92	99	100
100	61	100
5	100	100
100	80	90

Q2. Overall, the ccNSO Members Meeting agenda covered topics that were relevant to the interests and needs of my ccTLD.




- As always interesting lessons from other ccTLDs and actors
- Nice sessions on CSR, IANA function, and interesting exchanges overall.
- The topics discussed and the different presentations during the panels related to cc were innovative in light of how cc can make the internet ecosystem better
- Good variety of topics and different approaches (and formats) to different discussions.
- Very interested in work on DNS Abuse and on Internet Governance.
- The ccTLD News session, was very insightful, Policy Gaps session etc. the visit to the Memorial and the reception.

Q3. Overall, the sessions were well organised, informative and engaging.




- Every bit of it.

Q4. How would you rate the quality of the sessions?




- 2 problems: 1) sessions marked as acceptable appeared too high-level and/or "academic" to many ccTLDs not regularly involved in ccNSO
- 2) as usual, the language barrier posed a challenge: that is to say, if a New Zealander talks quite complex policy issues with a Briton, quite a number of non-native speakers are left out of the loop
- All sessions were very good.

Q5. I was able to connect with peers, colleagues, or other stakeholders during the meeting.



- attended remotely

Q6. Did you feel encouraged to actively participate in discussions and sessions during the ccNSO Members Meeting?



- Blitz polling and the use of whiteborads are a great way to express one's perspectives
- In particular, during World Cafe, the host and support staff at each table encouraged discussion, which increased my willingness to participate.

Q7. What improvements, if any, would you suggest for the overall ccNSO Members Meeting experience? Please be as specific as possible.

- None
- Everything went well
- More available time for Q&A

Q8. Which topic or session should be on the agenda at the next ccNSO Members meeting?

- ccTLD news
- Universal Acceptance as a panel discussion
- cctlds news
- Internet governance
- NIS2
- Marketing; cybesecurity; "applied" IG
- I think this session "ccTLDs & UN Sustainable Development Goals" was really relevant, inspiring and very eye-opening. I was specially impressed with the explanation that was given by the speaker Ana Neves around all the ongoing processes and working groups (particularly inside UN) dedicated to discussing IG and the digital environment. It's very important to have this type of insights explained in a very systematic way, because, sometimes, it can be a little bit challenging to see the big picture.
- N/A
- The UN ongoing IG processes