

	Bucket A	CIP Assessment	Structural Review	Review of Reviews	Ad Hoc Review
Objectives & Purpose	<p>Option 1: Based on priorities, establish scope for a review of a specified strategic goal or initiative, to look at the accountability and transparency, and to confirm whether what was delegated was completed efficiently</p> <p>Option 2: Focus on assessing how activities progressed on the Strategic Plan and if such progress has been accomplished in line with Commitments and Core Values</p>	<p>Lightweight effort to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> confirm CIPs were completed in adherence to Framework test CIP outputs to ensure conclusions are supported compare CIP outputs to identify good practices that may be beneficial to groups or serve to enhance collaboration ensure actions are followed through on as intended 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focused on structure of the ICANN multistakeholder model as a system, to recommend changes as needed to improve effectiveness or address a specific gap or need (e.g., need for a new structure). Does not cover internal structures of existing SO/ACs unless specifically requested per below Discusses structural issue(s) at the request of SO or AC and where SO/AC Leaders determine issue meets threshold 	<p>Audit of the review system to assess whether it is performing as intended and is still fit for the purpose of enhancing accountability and transparency</p>	<p>Discuss an emerging topic that meets the criteria for a review, specifically one that does not involve policy considerations, and cannot be adequately addressed through other mechanisms.</p>
Cadence	Set cadence: every five years	Set cadence based on CIP cycles (every three / six years)	<p>Set cadence (every 10 years). An occurrence can be canceled based on community agreement</p> <p>May be prompted out of cadence through ad hoc review process</p>	<p>Should threshold be met, set cadence</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Requires positive support by community to occur</p>	Should threshold be met, on demand review
Initiated by (i.e., “who pushes the button”)	Board	N/A	Board [would consult with community]	Board [would consult with community]	SO/AC Leaders [would consult with Board/Org/Community on estimated resources and timeline]
Scoping	Variable scoping to be pre-agreed through process	Fixed scoping	Fixed scoping as baseline, scope can be updated in case of SO/AC request	Fixed scoping	Variable scoping to be pre-agreed through process
Thresholds	N/A	N/A	Yes - SO/AC Leaders determine agreement	TBD	Yes

	Bucket A	CIP Assessment	Structural Review	Review of Reviews	Ad Hoc Review
Review conducted by	TBD	Cross Community Group	Cross Community Group (CEO, community leaders), assisted by expert	Cross Community Group (CEO, community leaders), assisted by expert	TBD (Dependent on agreed scope)
To whom are Recs made	Board	The relevant SO/AC or Nom Com	SO/AC then Board	SO/AC then Board	Board and/or depends on reason for review
Corresponding Current Reviews	ATR	Organizational			
References					
Notes		*Does not include a review of the CIP itself		*Could be used as the mechanism for review of the CIP itself.	