

Motion on the implementation of the Fast Flux recommendations that were adopted by the GNSO Council on 3 September 2009

Whereas the Fast Flux PDP Working Group submitted its Final Report to the GNSO Council on 13 August 2009;

Whereas the Fast Flux PDP Working Group did not make recommendations for new consensus policy, or changes to existing policy, but did develop several other recommendations that were adopted by the Council on 3 September 2009 (see <http://gnso.icann.org/meetings/minutes-03sep09.htm>);

Whereas the GNSO Council intended to create a drafting team to develop a plan with a set of priorities and schedule to address the adopted recommendations for review and consideration by the GNSO Council;

Whereas due to workload and other priorities such a drafting team was never created;

Whereas the Council tasked the Council leadership at the wrap up meeting at the ICANN meeting in Cartagena to put forward proposals to suggest which projects should be continued and discontinued;

Whereas the Council leadership has reviewed the Fast Flux Recommendations that were adopted by the GNSO Council, also in light of the recent recommendations made by the Registration Abuse Policies Working Group;

Whereas the Council leadership has recommended the approach outlined below to the GNSO Council for consideration as an acceptable way of implementing the adopted recommendations and therewith closing the project;

RESOLVED,

The Council accepts the approach identified below for the adopted recommendations (see <http://gnso.icann.org/meetings/minutes-03sep09.htm>) and instructs the ICANN Staff to implement these recommendations as set forth below:

- a) Adopted recommendation #1: To encourage ongoing discussions within the community regarding the development of best practices and / or Internet industry solutions to identify and mitigate the illicit uses of Fast Flux
 - *Proposed implementation: Integrate this recommendation into the Registration Abuse Policies WG Recommendation on Malicious Use of Domain Names Recommendation #1 recommending the creation of non-binding best practices to help registrars and registries address the illicit use of domain names.*

- b) Adopted recommendation #2: The Registration Abuse Policy Working Group (RAPWG) should examine whether existing policy may empower Registries and Registrars, including consideration for adequate indemnification, to mitigate illicit uses

of Fast Flux;

- Implementation completed as it was addressed by the RAP WG in its final report (see <http://gnso.icann.org/issues/rap/rap-wg-final-report-29may10-en.pdf>)

c) Adopted recommendation #3: To encourage interested stakeholders and subject matter experts to analyze the feasibility of a Fast Flux Data Reporting System to collect data on the prevalence of illicit use, as a tool to inform future discussions;

- *Proposed Implementation: ICANN staff is requested to organize a workshop at an ICANN meeting in 2011 (e.g. Include it as a topic of the DNS Abuse Forum) to discuss this issue in further detail with the ICANN Community. ICANN Staff is expected to report back to the GNSO Council on the outcome of the workshop and any possible follow up / next steps that result from such a meeting.*

d) Adopted recommendation #4: To encourage staff to examine the role that ICANN can play as a "best practices facilitator" within the community;

- *Proposed Implementation: Integrate this recommendation into the RAP WG Recommendation on "Meta Issue: Collection and Dissemination of Best Practices" which recommends that the "GNSO, and the larger ICANN community in general, create and support structured, funded mechanisms for the collection and maintenance of best practices."*

e) Adopted recommendation #5: To consider the inclusion of other stakeholders from both within and outside the ICANN community for any future Fast Flux policy development efforts;

- *Proposed Implementation: Ensure that any invitations for above proposed workshop and any other activities that result from any of the activities related to the fast flux recommendations are circulated as wide as possible.*

f) Adopted recommendation #6: To ensure that successor PDPs on this subject, if any, address the charter definition issues identified in the Fast Flux Final Report.

- *Proposed Implementation: No action needed at this point, but should be included if any future PDPs are initiated on this subject.*

g) Adopted recommendation #6: To form a Drafting Team to work with support staff on developing a plan with set of priorities and schedule that can be reviewed and considered by the new Council as part of its work in developing the Council Policy Plan and Priorities for 2010.

- *Proposed Implementation: The Council deems this work to be completed in conjunction with the above-proposed implementation proposals.*

RESOLVED FURTHER

The Council now considers the work of the Fast Flux WG complete. As such, the "Fast Flux Council Follow-up" action item is deemed closed and will be deleted from the Pending Project List.