

SOAC Chairs' Pre-ICANN69 Virtual Roundtable

Call #2

23 September 2020



AGENDA

1. Welcome
2. Opening Remarks
3. Discussion Topic #1:
 - ICANN Virtual Meetings
4. Discussion Topic #2:
 - Proposed Community Consultation - The gTLD Policy Process (with a potential new Operational Design Phase)
5. Discussion Topic #3:
 - For Community Information - Board Discussion on Proposed Principles for the Adoption and Implementation of Reviews, Cross Community Working Groups and Other Non-Policy Recommendations
6. Closing Comments

TOPIC #1: ICANN VIRTUAL MEETINGS

TOPIC #2: PROPOSED COMMUNITY CONSULTATION ON THE gTLD POLICY PROCESS AND A NEW OPERATIONAL DESIGN PHASE

Objectives & Rationale

- Increasing complexity of consensus policy recommendations requires more attention to implementation planning, prior to Board action
- Board decisions will benefit from having specific information available prior to a Board vote (e.g. likely costs, resource needs and potential timelines for implementation)
- Existing policy development and implementation processes have been in place for some time - useful to obtain community feedback about their effectiveness, sufficiency and any gaps (based on community experiences)
- Clarifying roles and responsibilities of Board, org and community may be helpful - since the roles change depending on each stage of the policy process (e.g. initiation, development, voting/decision, implementation)

Existing Processes

Roles & Responsibilities:

- gTLD policy scoping (Issue Report) - Board request, AC request, GNSO Council
- gTLD Policy Development Process (PDP) launch - GNSO Council
- gTLD PDP approval - GNSO Council, ICANN Board
- gTLD PDP implementation - ICANN org (directed by Board and advised by GNSO Implementation Review Team (IRT))

Documentation:

- ICANN Bylaws, GNSO Operating Procedures, GNSO PDP Manual, Consensus Policy Implementation Framework (CPIF), IRT Guidelines

Other Existing Processes:

- Expedited PDP; GNSO Guidance Process; GNSO Input Process

NEW: Proposed Operational Design Phase

Scope:

- Occurring between GNSO approval of gTLD policy recommendations and Board vote
- May not be needed for all GNSO policy efforts - only those where ICANN org believes implementation will be very costly or complex
- Purpose is to provide the Board, prior to its decision, with operational information (e.g. cost estimates, timing, level of effort)
- ICANN org develops operational information to share with community feedback group
- Does not replace the Implementation Review Team (IRT) - IRT formed at the actual implementation phase upon Board approval of policy recommendations

TOPIC #3: BOARD DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED PRINCIPLES FOR ADOPTING AND IMPLEMENTING REVIEWS, CCWG AND OTHER NON-POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS (FOR COMMUNITY INFORMATION)

The need for clear principles

- The multi-stakeholder model results in a number of different types of non-policy recommendations (e.g. Reviews, Cross Community Working Groups)
- Some recommendations are directed at the Board, some at ICANN org, some at the community

Roles & Responsibilities:

- ICANN Board: Responsible for fiduciary oversight
- ICANN org: Support community implementation; responsible for implementing org-directed recommendations
- ICANN community: Independent structures with specific processes; responsible for implementing community-directed recommendations
- Shared: Governance issues

Engage with ICANN



Thank You and Questions

Visit us at

<https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/governance-plan-improve-multistakeholder-model-2019-04-08-en>



[@icann](https://twitter.com/icann)



[linkedin/company/icann](https://www.linkedin.com/company/icann)



[facebook.com/icannorg](https://www.facebook.com/icannorg)



[slideshare/icannpresentations](https://www.slideshare.net/icannpresentations)



[youtube.com/icannnews](https://www.youtube.com/icannnews)



[soundcloud/icann](https://www.soundcloud.com/icann)



[flickr.com/icann](https://www.flickr.com/icann)



[instagram.com/icannorg](https://www.instagram.com/icannorg)