

ICANN66 Plenary Session Topic Proposals

1. Cross-Community Session on ICANN's Human Rights Core Value

Group/Affiliation GAC

Contact Name [Benedetta Rossi](#), GAC Support Staff

Brief Description (max 250 words)

(1) offer a brief introduction to the subject of HR in connection with ICANN's mission;
(2) briefly introduce the work so far in the community in this regard, including the development of the Core Value and the Framework of Interpretation (FOI), and the work that has been done on Human Right Impact Assessments in pursuance of the FOI (one already conducted and another intended by ICANN66).

Rationale/Desired Outcomes Focus on an open exchange on how ICANN as a community and its component parts (ICANN Org, SO/ACs) approach the implementation of this HR Core Value – including information on preparatory steps taken so far, inter alia, by CCWP and HRILWG and consider follow-up as appropriate.

Session Format 90 minutes with head table for presenters/session leaders, presenter laptop, projector etc, and interactive possibility via audience using roaming microphones

Session Leaders/Facilitators Jorge Cancio, GAC
Suada Hadzovic, GAC
Collin Kurre, NCSG
Akriti Bopanna, NCSG

Community Interest GAC, NCSG, At-Large

2. Enhancing Effectiveness of Review Recommendations & Implementation

Group/Affiliation ICANN org

Community Group or Project Reviews Recommendations and Implementation

Executive Owner Theresa Swinehart

Contact Name [Negar Farzinnia](#) (Alternate email contact: [Pamela Smith](#))

Brief Description (max 250 words) Reviews are an important element of ICANN's multistakeholder model and continuous improvement within its mission in the ongoing changing environment. The topics around developing recommendations that propose improvements and implementing such recommendations effectively to serve their intended purpose has been a point of discussion within ICANN (community, Board and the ICANN organization).

Rationale/Desired Outcomes This session will continue the dialogue on key themes to address and inform improvements to prioritization and resourcing of recommendations to make them more effective.

Session Format 90 minute session with a panel of 5 presenters and roaming mics for an interactive discussion with participants.

Session Leaders/Facilitators Theresa Swinehart, Larisa Gurnick, Lars Hoffmann, Negar Farzinnia, +1

Community Interest Given that this impacts the community, the Board and ICANN.org, this has the potential to draw a broad spectrum of attendees.

3. Evolution of ICANN's Multistakeholder Model

Group/Affiliation ICANN org

Executive Owner Theresa Swinehart

Contact Name [Eleeza Agopian](#) (Alternate email contact: [Pamela Smith](#))

Community Group or Project Evolution of the Multistakeholder Model

Brief Description (max 250 words) Update and discussion on the facilitated work on the evolution of ICANN's multistakeholder Model.

Rationale/Desired Outcomes Specific next steps on work plans to address the issues raised and agreed on.

Session Format 90 min session with 1 presenters and roaming mics for an interactive discussion with participants and facilitator

Session Leaders/Facilitators Brian Cute

Community Interest All

4. DNS Abuse Mitigation

Group/Affiliation GAC

Contact Name [Manal Ismail](#)

Brief Description (max 250 words)

This session approaches the topic of DNS Abuse by first looking at the key practical issues followed by a facilitated community discussion.

DNS Abuse takes a variety of forms, and the session will explore – using definitions and examples – what type of conduct has traditionally been labelled “DNS Abuse”, an important precursor to arriving at a joint definition of DNS Abuse. In a second step, the session will explore how registry and registrar operators mitigate and address DNS Abuse/security threats, by looking at examples of prevention and mitigation measures. Following that, the session will provide a gap analysis of issues left to address – including

possible challenges to prevention and mitigation measures.

This session will include discussion of how evolving legal and regulatory frameworks put DNS Abuse investigations and mitigations performed by 3rd parties at risk, how aware lawmakers and regulators of these risks, how governments are working together to bring clarity to these issues, and actions the ICANN Community can take based on the experience it has accumulated on this matter over time and most recently through several processes including the CCT Review, the Domain Abuse Activity Reporting and the DNS Infrastructure Abuse Audits

Rationale/Desired Outcomes A common understanding of: 1) What we mean by “DNS Abuse” (share examples, definition) and what is the basis of the community concerns about DNS abuse/security threats, 2) what is done by contracted parties to prevent, mitigate and respond to DNS abuse/security threats and 3) what are the residual issues that need to be dealt with (gap analysis mapping what level of DNS abuse remains depending on the measures that CPs already take and how the remaining level could be prevented/mitigated/addressed)

Session Format To be determined in consultation with interested community representatives

Session Leaders /Facilitators To be determined in consultation with interested community representatives

Community Interest SSAC, GAC, ALAC, BC, RySG, ccNSO (per ongoing discussions)

5. EPDP Phase 2: Path to a Unified Access to Non-Public gTLD Registration Data

Group/Affiliation GAC

Contact Name [Manal Ismail](#)

Brief Description (max 250 words) Since May 2019, the EPDP Team has set out to develop policy for a Standardized System for Access to non-public gTLD Registration Data. In the meantime, ICANN org, in connection with the EPDP Team, has engaged with European DPAs on the basis of work conducted in ICANN’s Unified Access Model Framework, Phase 1 of the EPDP and a Technical Model for Access to Non-Public Registration Data. ICANN66 will be the first opportunity for the ICANN Community to assess progress on this critical work after significant resources have been devoted to it. It will also be an opportunity for all interested parties to assess whether outcomes align with the global public interest and identify what additional actions are necessary to make a UAM a reality.

Rationale/Desired Outcomes The GAC has indicated its expectations that the EPDP Team achieves considerable progress by ICANN66. The goal of this session is to assess whether expectations are met for the GAC as well as any other interested constituent of the ICANN Community, and the various legitimate public and private interests at stake. Further, this session will identify what (outside the context of policy) needs to happen to make a UAM a reality.

Session Format To be determined in consultation with interested community representatives

Session Leaders/Facilitators To be determined in consultation with interested community representatives

Community Interest GAC, GNSO (at this point)

6. Providing a foundation for DNS Abuse discussions

Group/Affiliation * GNSO RySG

Contact Name [Jonathan Robinson](#)

Brief Description (max 250 words) This session approaches the currently "hot topic" of DNS Abuse by first looking at the key practical issues followed by a facilitated community discussion. First, we'll take a focused look at ICANN's bylaws and remit and next, explore how registry and registrar operators mitigate and address DNS Abuse/security threats in that context. Third, the community will be invited to discuss issues arising and proposed solutions.

Rationale/Desired RATIONALE

Outcomes Given the considerable interest surrounding DNS abuse within the community, we believe an effective discussion requires a good foundation and so will benefit from a common understanding of the following:

- What is within ICANN's mission and scope to address;
- What is done by contracted parties to mitigate and address security threats; and
- What are the community concerns and what are the problems that need to be solved?

Establishing a common foundation or baseline will allow for the most productive community-wide discussion about DNS abuse. A discussion that is focused on addressing the problem rather than one which is focused on unspecified and /or potentially unsubstantiated claims of DNS abuse.

DESIRED OUTCOMES

A common understanding of:

- what is within ICANN's mission and scope.
- what is done by contracted parties to mitigate and respond to DNS abuse/security threats
- what is the basis of the community concerns about DNS abuse/security threats
- what are the residual issues that need to be dealt with

Session Format An independent and skilled moderator/facilitator will explore the following with representatives from the ICANN community and ICANN org:

1. What is within ICANN's mission and scope to address?
2. What is done by registries and registrars to mitigate and respond to DNS abuse/security threats?
3. General discussion of community concerns about DNS abuse/security threats

The meeting room layout should encourage interactive ("back and forth") participation by a representative set of participants – as opposed to a small number of panelists facing the large room and then comments at the microphone.

**Session Leaders/
Facilitators** An independent skilled moderator/facilitator is proposed for this session.

Community Interest There is wide community interest about this topic.

