

**CSC Effectiveness RT meeting
22 October 2018
09.30-10.15**

Attendees

Full RT (Martin Boyle on line)
CSC members & liaisons
PTI (Kim Davies, Naela Sarras)
ICANN Org (Trang Nguyen, Amy Craemer)

Introduction of assessment Matrix and goal of RT.

CSC, ICANN Org and PTI requested to add comments to initial assessment RT (completed)

Issues topics identified during conversation

CSC dependent on personal. No guarantee it will continue this way.

Observation: Four members are dominant. Some liaisons feel no seat at the table.

Discussed during CSC Charter Review.

Level of Attendance of meetings. Note that majority of liaisons are available. Those appointing the liaisons should look at attendance record to check whether level is acceptable. Chair CSC may inform the appointing organisation. Metric attendance is available on-line

(Example: <https://community.icann.org/display/CSC/Attendanc>)

What were expectations? Including the liaisons originally appealing broader community. CSC in principle IANA naming function customer focused.

Diversity needed? Does affect effectiveness. If you take Byron away. Core team in principle technical background.

Is group sufficiently engaged? Strong engagement and commitment. If issues opportunity appointing organisation recall / remove if CSC member/liaison is not performing,

Maintain skill set. Request CSC to provide skill set overview and type of candidates needed to maintain quality of full CSC. Diversity: Technical community & managerial, business. Contribution membership, understand role of the CSC in transition. Over time: how to keep knowledge in place? Is context knowledge available. Knowledge transfer over time. On-boarding Incoming Members & Liaison

Need for skill set. Normally Routine meetings, however with potential of important events. Need to understand culture and role of CSC and procedures and relevance for direct cusotmers. Partly safeguarded by staggered terms of members (& liaisons)

To present results from RT. Make clear assumption CSC is performing its function is based on extensive work Charter Review Team (See first part of Findings in Final Report) This

assumption needs to be checked. Review Team developed robust methodology to surface issues.