

Adobe Connect: 29

Alan Greenberg (ALAC)	Hadia Elminiawi (ALAC)
Alan Woods (RYSG)	James Bladel (RrSG)
Alex Deacon (IPC)	Kavouss Arasteh (GAC)
Amr Elsadr (NCSG)	Kristina Rosette (RySG)
Ashley Heineman (GAC)	Kurt Pritz (Chair)
Ayden Férdeline (NCSG)	Leon Sanchez (ICANN Board Liaison)
Ben Butler (SSAC)	Marc Anderson (RySG)
Benedict Addis (SSAC)	Margie Milam (BC)
Chris Disspain (ICANN Board Liaison)	Mark Svancarek (BC)
David Cake (NCSG Alternate)	Matt Serlin (RrSG)
Diane Plaut (IPC)	Milton Mueller (NCSG)
Esteban Lescano (ISPCP)	Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison)
Farzaneh Badii (NCSG)	Stephanie Perrin (NCSG)
Georgios Tselentis (GAC)	Theo Geurts (RrSG Alternate)
	Thomas Rickert (ISPCP)

On Audio Only:

None

Apologies:

Emily Taylor (RrSG)
Julf Helsingius (NCSG)

Audio Cast (FOR ALTERNATES AND OBSERVERS)

Peak: 16 joined

View Only Adobe Connect:

41 joined

Staff:

Berry Cobb
Caitlin Tubergen
Daniel Halloran (ICANN Org Liaison – Legal)
Marika Konings
Mike Brennan
Trang Nguyen (ICANN Org Liaison – GDD)
Terri Agnew
Andrea Glandon

AC Chat:

Andrea Glandon: Welcome to the ninth EPRP Team Meeting held on Thursday, 30 August 2018 at 13:00 UTC.

Andrea Glandon: Agenda Wiki <https://community.icann.org/x/VRhpBQ>

Kurt Pritz:Hi Everyone: you will definitely need your printed copy of the Temporary Specification today. There are slides that refer to sections but don't include the Temporary Specification text - it would have made the slide too wordy. Please have a copy of it handy to you in some form.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):thanks for the heads up, Kurt

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Hi all

Kurt Pritz:You will also want your copy of the support team-supplied Discussion Summary Index for sections 4.4 and Appendix C - they contain all the comments from the Triage section

Milton Mueller (NCSG):where is that?

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):Could we have a URL for the latter doc?

Theo Geurts RrSG:https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.icann.org_resources_pages_gtld-2Dregistration-2Ddata-2Dspecs-2Den_-23temp-2Dspec&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pjp6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjltyVqrCYHorKms9SFxlmbYEJqG-y9I&m=99a_4HSNf_fPWFRUNzl8t4FeMv-pCFrpinl_1-ksbm4&s=0ODdpz1_7geVt5bnc1QsqzQFoyxOYHN_CsngxJT7NztE&e=

Kurt Pritz:Thanks Theo - we will ensure there is an email notification for when they are prepared

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):Hi all, I am at the ICANN Studienkreis today, so I will follow this as good as I can in the adobe, but I cannot speak. Some, if not all, will be very happy to read this.

Kurt Pritz:Not so

Rafik Dammak:hi all

Alex Deacon - IPC:morning

Milton Mueller (NCSG):what could be more amusing than an edpb call?

Mark Svancarek (BC):an edpb call

Theo Geurts RrSG:lol

farzaneh badii (NCSG):you guys ...

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):What is the link for the staff supplied summary please

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):Nice, Mark :-)

Marika Konings:@Stephanie - which summary are you referring to?

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Marika, the one Kurt told us we need to have in front of us

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Kurt Pritz: You will also want your copy of the support team-supplied Discussion Summary Index for sections 4.4 and Appendix C - they contain all the comments from the Triage section

Marika Konings:All DSIs can be found here: <https://community.icann.org/x/ExxpBQ>

Marika Konings:Thanks, Milton

Milton Mueller (NCSG):thank you

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:Hi all. Apologies about being late.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):This language only covers 6 I f

farzaneh badii (NCSG):Thomas had a good idea about this

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):As we discussed, the entire catalogue of Art 6 is possible

farzaneh badii (NCSG):we cant consider all lawful processing in this. it's a wrong ex ante move

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):primarily 6 I a, b and f (and c in certain circumstances)

Margie Milam (BC):agree with Alex

farzaneh badii (NCSG):and the principle is to interpret these other processings grounds narrowly not broadly

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):We should make a distinction between ICANN's purpose as an organization and the purposes for data processing. These may not be 100% congruent.

farzaneh badii (NCSG):we don'

farzaneh badii (NCSG):agree with milton. it is not legitimate purpose it's legitimate interest

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):data protection is a very special animal

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:"Legitimate Interest" in itself is too broad. Legitimate interests to access need to be limited by what's included in ICANN's mission, as Milton says.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):We should speak of lawful purposes and legitimate interests

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):This underscores the need for GDPR training, once again.

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:There could be an infinite number of truly legitimate interests out there. Doesn't mean that access should be granted to all of them.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):We had a lengthy argument about this in the RDS.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):correct, Amr. But not all legitimate interests pass the balancing test

Mark Svancarek (BC):For clarity, you can refer to me as "marksv"

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Thomas: lawful purposes and legitimate interests sounds a lot better. Narrows it down more effectively.

farzaneh badii (NCSG):but we can't process all the data in the world because a third party one day wants it. needs to be interpreted narrowly for the data processing purposes

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):Again, this shows we need to go through a legal method to do this exercise. The language can be cleaned up later.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):thank you Marc for trying to clarify that

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Thomas: +1 on the legal method.

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Interest is a.

Benedict Addis (SSAC):purpose

James Bladel (RrSG):Agree with Ashley.

Margie Milam (BC):agree with Alan and Ashley

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):oh the hypocrisy

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):Wording issues will not be solved if we do not agree on principles and definitions. We need to revisit the process

Alan Woods (RYSG):agree with Ashley also.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):right, Ayden

Mark Svancarek (BC):Lots of good points. In the absence of wording, let's move on.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):but we're making progress, let it pass

Ashley Heineman (GAC):What hypocrisy?

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):You always need purpose and legal basis. The purpose must be lawful (Art .5) and one of the legal basis, ART. 6 I f - involves the legitimate interest.

Diane Plaut (IPC):Yes, Thomas.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):So let's just use this terminology as in GDPR and only speak of legitimate interests if we discuss Art. 6 I f.

David Cake (NCSG Alternate):+1 Thomas

Milton Mueller (NCSG):yikes

Marika Konings:Hadia, you may need to mute your computer?

Matt Serlin - RrSG:might need to mute

farzaneh badii (NCSG):no data processing includes disclosure and collection

farzaneh badii (NCSG):we have to know what we are talking about when we use "processing"

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:I am not sure that I was clear, but i could not hear the conversation for some of the time

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Yes, the fact that ICANN had to grab something from the CCT review to justify its expansive definition of purpose indicates that the grounds for claiming it as part of ICANN's purpose is very shaky

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):Amr can you please repeat the ref of the bylaw?

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Georgios: bylaw reference is 4.6(d)

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Any date collected need to be processed . If someone has no information on this basic element in data processing then we have problem

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:I don't actually see much conflict between this reference, and what has a legal basis as per the GDPR.

Kristina Rosette (RySG):you're fading out, Alan.

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Georgios: The reference is listed in temp spec provision 4.2

Milton Mueller (NCSG):agree with Alan, start over

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Once the data is collected in order to be stored, transferred or accessed it MUST be properly processed . This is fundamental and crucial dealing with data

farzaneh badii (NCSG):what is that green spot in front of 4.4.12?

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):That means uncontested, I guess

farzaneh badii (NCSG):No it is not contested. they have to redefine the set of data that is being collected for their purpose

farzaneh badii (NCSG):we only deferred on this issue

farzaneh badii (NCSG):4.4.13, has to be clarified.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):Ah, ok.

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Kavouss, what do you mean by "properly processed"?

Mark Svancarek (BC):I think this is the applicable verbiage: "4.6 e (i) Subject to applicable laws, ICANN shall use commercially reasonable efforts to enforce its policies relating to registration directory services and shall work with Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees to explore structural changes to improve accuracy and access to generic top-level domain registration data, as well as consider safeguards for protecting such data."

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:@kanous yes I would also like to ask about "properly processed"

James Bladel (RrSG):Kurt et al - We haven't confirmed with our SG, but Registrars on this call agree with Alan W's proposal

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):"bolt on some compliance" is an excellent way of putting it Marc

farzaneh badii (NCSG):silence?

Mark Svancarek (BC):lol

farzaneh badii (NCSG):I want to know what "contractual compliance" activities of ICANN are. has to be said

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:If I understood Marc's comment correctly, the RDS PDP went through a similar exercise; to develop a purpose statement on RDS, then list legitimate purposes for third-party access to the Minimum Public Data Set (aka "thin" whois).

farzaneh badii (NCSG):legitimate interest :)

James Bladel (RrSG)::)

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Marc: Same here!! :-)

farzaneh badii (NCSG):yeah it was use cases

farzaneh badii (NCSG):it was a wrong approach

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:Thanks for the clarification, Marc.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):RDS did not start with "purposes" but with "use cases" - that's how they got off the track

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):We also have mis-use cases, which must be introduced if we look at the use cases

Milton Mueller (NCSG):But I like your suggestion Marc

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):Any comments by others on the suggestion I sent to the list?

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):We need to assess this problem based on how a legal analysis would be done

Diane Plaut (IPC):All groups have insights into the language needed not just the registrars and registries

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Thomas - was this the "slicing and dicing" message"

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):What was said was totally unclear

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):Yes, and the attached sheet in particular

farzaneh badii (NCSG):who are you referring to Kavouss?

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Oh I didn't notice he attachment @Thomas, will open it now

Milton Mueller (NCSG):I would support that as a second step, I like Alan W's suggestion to get clear what data needs to be processed to provide the dns service first

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):your statement was not clear if I may ask what you intended to say that the group should consider

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):I agree, Milton, but the spreadsheet supports exactly that in the second and third column

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Yes, I liked the spreadsheet

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:I like the spreadsheet too.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):if you do not wish to clarify forget that

farzaneh badii (NCSG):my statement was crystal clear Kavouss for informed people who know the topic.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):I suggest we need to get tangible results - even if it's just baby steps - to energize the group

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):I guess

Diane Plaut (IPC):Yes, Alan well said - ICANN's mission is clear and does not need to be re-written or re-evaluated

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):I KNOW INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY MORE THAN MANY OTHER PLS CORRECT YR STATEMENT

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):SORRY FOR CAP

Diane Plaut (IPC):Yes, Margie, thank you for the important clarity of this essential point

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):No battle with anybody I JUST ASKED CLARIFICATION AND DID NOT EXPECT RECEIVING SUCH OFFENDING ANSWER THAT YOU ONLY KNOW INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND NOT OTHERS.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):this is an engineering topic and not politics

Margie Milam (BC):Which is a huge problem!

Milton Mueller (NCSG):no it's not

James Bladel (RrSG):But I don't believe that's correct for individual compliance inquiries.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):right James

Trang Nguyen (ICANN Org Liaison):@Alan, you are correct. ARS uses publicly available data.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Forget about it . I do not comment on your statement any more as you became sensitive which is counter productive

Alex Deacon - IPC:no collaboration as far as I know.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Kurt, that was not what was suggested

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):@Trang, not quite. It presumes that for thick registries, the registry has the authoritative data, and in some cases, that is no longer the case.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):There was agreement that 4.4.8 confuses ICANN's purposes with 3rd party legitimate interests in access

Leon Sanchez (ICANN Board Liaison):I will leave the AC room but will continue to follow over the phone bridge. Thanks

Ashley Heineman (GAC):Appendix A section 4?

Diane Plaut (IPC):Milton - there was not agreement that ICANN's purposes are confused

Milton Mueller (NCSG):There was a lot of agreement that Section 4 confuses purpose and interest

Ashley Heineman (GAC):hand raised

Milton Mueller (NCSG):That was App ?? section ??

Ashley Heineman (GAC):Appendix A Section 4

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Right. The access section. I agree

Alan Woods (RYSG):Diane, i would also remind that the EDPB's last letter felt that there was confusion/conflation on that very point re ICANN's purposes and the purposes of 3rd parties. we need to have the discussion in that light also.

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:Milton I think you are correct - interests and purposes are mixed certainly separation and clarity is required

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Thanks, Hadia

Milton Mueller (NCSG):And if we can resolve the temp spec issues quickly then we can get to access faster

Ashley Heineman (GAC):Just a note Milton, Appendix A Section 4 is within the gating questions.

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Alex: Agree in principle. Good idea. Might be a good idea to broaden participation in getting this done beyond RrSG and IPC, though.

Alex Deacon - IPC:+1 amr

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):We need to debate one point at a given time and not talking on several things

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):correct

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):fleshing out the purposes without looking at the concrete processing is very difficult.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):The sheet aims at facilitating the discussion

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Thomas and all - I just emailed an SSAC document which explains the data elements used in processing a domain name registration.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):The sheet is excellent. We must get to the point where folks recognize the circularity of this process, we will never get anywhere if we don't focus on concrete processing actions.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):circularity is a good choice of words, Steph

Milton Mueller (NCSG):dog chasing its tail

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):Benedict, I like the chart you sent. I would only recommend to remove the payment part (as in the temp spec). Invoicing is a purpose that the registrar pursues and no one else in the game. It should not be a purpose governed by the Temp Spec.

Berry Cobb:The schedule has us starting a survey on data elements next week, and discussion of it 13th and 18th of Sept.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Kurt, may we have a limited points of agenda focussing on e.g. 4.4.2 and any other points under 4.4 and channelling the discussion on that point one by one

Alan Woods (RYSG):+1 thomas

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):this activity is not to turned to demonstration of general statements which get us to no where

Alex Deacon - IPC:+1 kavouss - which is why I think we need something concrete/draft/preliminary to use of the basis of our conversations moving forward.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):ICANN's purposes Kurt?

Milton Mueller (NCSG):or third parties?

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Kurt, we need to start about data itself first and about the processing of that data later

Alex Deacon - IPC:I agreed to re-write 4.4 (only)

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Any one propose adjustment may kindly take the pen and draft changes in a concrete manner

farzaneh badii (NCSG):I disagree with one person being pen holder. it should be more than one and more than one stakeholder group at all times

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):Agreed, Alan. We need to collect what folks think should fall under 4.4.8 and then evaluate the proposed purposes.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Alan +1

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):After that we can rewrite 4.4.8

Ashley Heineman (GAC):Yes - rewrite

Alan Woods (RYSG):+1 Alan

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):Farnaneh - I think we cannot do collective drafting. Someone needs to come up with a proposal for the others to review.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):We can also have drafting teams

Alex Deacon - IPC:I'll voluntter to re-write 4.4.8

Milton Mueller (NCSG):NCSG will volunteer to rewrite 4.4.8

Alan Woods (RYSG):full rewrite of all the sections is necessary was my point- not polish what is there.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):collect purposes

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Alan + 1 AND it was written in a manner that issues are mixed up

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):folks should say what they think falls under 4.4.8

Diane Plaut (IPC):the IPC wishes to be part of any re-writing of 4.4..8

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:I'd be happy to help with any rewrite involving 4.4.2 and 4.4.8.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):It sounds like different SGs are going to be coming up with competing drafts

farzaneh badii (NCSG):Thomas, yes we can. we can have co-drafters. doesnt have to be 10 people. just like in the other groups where we had co-drafters.

farzaneh badii (NCSG):we can just have drafting teams consisting of various people. if they can't agree there they probably won't agree here either

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):good point @Farzi

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):At some point we need to confront the to what extent we have a role to play in issues such as abuse.We do not control it all (as 4.4.8 may imply) but we do have a role to play and cannot ignore it.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):Finde by me, Farzaneh

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):fine

Theo Geurts RrSG:Sounds like we what did in the RDS, divide it up in smaller groups...

Milton Mueller (NCSG):and that was so successful? ;-)

Theo Geurts RrSG:you know that answer Milton :)

Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison):all good :) we moved to another agenda item already

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Theo: Good omen, then? ;-)

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:ALAC would also like to take a part in the drafting of 4.4.8

Theo Geurts RrSG:Amr, we did not achieve our goals..... let me be diplomatic here.

David Cake (NCSG Alternate):The RDS was usually fairly successful when we divided into smaller groups, but often restricted from doing so by charter

farzaneh badii (NCSG):they didn't have a temp spec in RDS and they kind of lost their way. we are going to find the light soon

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Theo: Fair enough. :-)

Milton Mueller (NCSG):a "creature" - I like that

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Alan Wood, do you have a concrete suggestion to make either now or at later srtage?

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):stage

Theo Geurts RrSG:Good points by Alan W

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Kurt , pls kindly ask for concrete suggestion

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):the slide is blank for me; can others see it, or is it my Adobe?

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:I can see it, Ayden.

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):thanks, i will restart Adobe then...

Terri Agnew:@Ayden, you may need to try a different browser.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):This is helpful to inform the discussion. there might be purposes only relevant for certain parts of the lifecycle.

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):AC has always had a problem that occasionally, the central pod goes dark and restarting fixes it.

Alex Deacon - IPC:re: C.1 - Given the confusion and different interpretations it needs to be clarified somehow - deleting that clause is one way to do it.

James Bladel (RrSG):Alan is cutting in and out

Terri Agnew:@Alan Woods, your audio seems to be cutting in and out

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Alan speak more loudly

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Thomas' ECO Playbook does address the question by Alan Greenberg; might be worth circulating an extract from the ECO Playbook to see if that helps offer some clarity there, or leads to any further questions?

Alan Woods (RYSG):sorry re audio....

Ashley Heineman (GAC):+1 Mark

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:Mark I agree third parties' purposes should not be combined with ICANN's purposes but that does not mean ignored

Alan Greenberg (ALAC):@Milton, we agree that this needs clarity. We do not agree that we cannot collect based on their need *IF* we can demonstrate that what they will do with the data is a directly related to our mission.

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Alan +1

Milton Mueller (NCSG):I think you're confused about data protection law, alan, but we can debate that on the list

Milton Mueller (NCSG):In fact, we CANNOT collect if it is not related to our purpose

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):I have raised my hand to discuss that conflation problem.

Theo Geurts RrSG:Milton is correct

Ashley Heineman (GAC):Third parties should be responsible for holding the pen on text that pertains to "third parties" just as Registries and Registrars are holding the pen on text pertaining to them.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):We can reveal what data we have based on legit interest of third parties, but it is illegal to collect on that basis, that is why the DP's are scolding ICANN about it

Ashley Heineman (GAC):Well, the GAC volunteered. :-)

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:I volunteered to help with 4.4.2 and 4.4.8

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:But perhaps a call for volunteers to help with the rewrites can go to the mailing list?

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Third party - removed from purposes section

Milton Mueller (NCSG):4.4.2 - Alex

Milton Mueller (NCSG):4.4.8 - ??

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):NCSG is happy to do 4.4.8

Milton Mueller (NCSG):4.4.9 - Ashley

Ashley Heineman (GAC):I'm not sure we "agreed to moving third party access." There was some agreement to consider it.

Milton Mueller (NCSG):Yes,

Diane Plaut (IPC):Kurt - IPC - myself volunteered to rewrite 4.4.8

Milton Mueller (NCSG):And so did I for NCSG

Alan Woods (RYSG):To be clear Ry & Rr are going to take a stab at the CPH purposes not necessarily 4.4.(anything) ... from scratch necessary.

Alan Woods (RYSG):*as

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):Kurt: Why move those from chapter 4?

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG):+100 Milton
Benedict Addis (SSAC):I can assist with 4.4.8
Mark Svancarek (BC):I wouldn't consider it a "mandate", merely a jumping off point for the further discussion
Milton Mueller (NCSG):yes, they are just drafts at this point
Mark Svancarek (BC):whereas right now 4.4.8 is so broken we can't move forward
Milton Mueller (NCSG):well we are going to get competing drafts
Milton Mueller (NCSG):full stop
Amr Elsadr - NCSG:Not having competing drafts would be helpful. Best to have folks help each other with the rewrites.
Benedict Addis (SSAC):+1 Amr
Ashley Heineman (GAC):Pollyanne moment... come on guys, let's be constructive and cooperative.
Ashley Heineman (GAC):"Pollyanna"
Alex Deacon - IPC:happy to work with Milton and anyone else on 4.4.8.
Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:I agree with not having competing drafts
Diane Plaut (IPC):Yes, cooperation is key so that we can move forward rather than continue down a circular path
Alan Greenberg (ALAC):I had originally suggested we SCHEDULE 120 minutes but try really hard to stop at 90. As Kavouss has noted, we have drifted into using the full 120 for each meeting.
Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:I agree with the IPC taking the lead on this
Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:I agree with the IPC taking the lead on 4.4.8
Milton Mueller (NCSG):Why?
Matt Serlin - RrSG:agree with Marika as to how to avoid competing drafts...smaller teams to draft language seems logical
Alex Deacon - IPC:a small drafting team is a good idea.
farzaneh badii (NCSG):just small group, nothing binding, the EPDP can make changes later on
farzaneh badii (NCSG):it won't be authoritative
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):One week
Marc Anderson (RySG):Does anyone have a link to the project plan Marika referenced handy?
Marika Konings:anything from these smaller drafting teams would always come back to the full EPDP Team for review and approval
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC):Yes ,it must be returned back to the full team in ONE WEEK
Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Is there an update on the request for GDPR training?
Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Marika: Yup. That'd be the idea.
Marika Konings:@Ayden - staff is exploring the different options that were suggested and hope to bring these back to leadership shortly so they can make a recommendation to the team for how to proceed.
Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):Thanks @Marika, just following up because it might be helpful to have in advance of text drafting
Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:because one of the stakeholders that are directly affected by 4.4.8 are the IPC
Milton Mueller (NCSG):That's fine Kurt but did you answer my question about what your time horizon is?
Alex Deacon - IPC:@ayden - training is important but we don't have the luxury of serializing our work.
Milton Mueller (NCSG):Hadia, we are ALL affected by 4.4.8. Registrants
Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:+1 Milton
Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:ok yes milton
Alan Woods (RYSG):sure. I'll try! :)
Ayden Férdeline (NCSG):thanks all
Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC:thanks all bye

Matt Serlin - RrSG:thanks all

Mark Svancarek (BC):thx

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP - no audio today):thanks all and bye

David Cake (NCSG Alternate):thanks all

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:Thanks all. Bye.

Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison):thanks all

Georgios Tselentis (GAC):tanks bye

Benedict Addis (SSAC):Amr please note that we'd like to provide input on 4.4.8

Amr Elsadr - NCSG:@Benedict: Thanks.