

**Adobe Connect: 28**

Alan Greenberg (ALAC)	James Bladel (RrSG)
Alan Woods (RYSG)	Julf Helsingius (NCSG)
Alex Deacon (IPC)	Kavouss Arasteh (GAC)
Amr Elsadr (NCSG)	Kristina Rosette (RySG)
Ashley Heineman (GAC)	Kurt Pritz (Chair)
Ben Butler (SSAC)	Leon Sanchez (ICANN Board Liaison)
Benedict Addis (SSAC)	Marc Anderson (RySG)
Chris Disspain (ICANN Board Liaison)	Margie Milam (BC)
David Cake (NCSG Alternate)	Mark Svancarek (BC)
Diane Plaut (IPC)	Matt Serlin (RrSG)
Emily Taylor (RrSG)	Milton Mueller (NCSG)
Farzaneh Badii (NCSG)	Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison)
Georgios Tselentis (GAC)	Stephanie Perrin (NCSG)
Hadia Elminiawi (ALAC)	Thomas Rickert (ISPCP)

**Audio Only:**

None

**Apologies:**

Ayden Férdeline (NCSG)

**Audio Cast (FOR ALTERNATES AND OBSERVERS)**

Peak: 12 joined

**View Only Adobe Connect:**

28 joined

**Staff:**

Berry Cobb  
Caitlin Tubergen  
Daniel Halloran (ICANN Org Liaison-Legal)  
Marika Konings  
Trang Nguyen (ICANN Org Liaison-GDD)  
Terri Agnew  
Andrea Glandon

**AC Chat:**

Andrea Glandon: (12/4/2018 06:55) Welcome to the EPDP Team call #31 held on Tuesday, 04 December 2018 at 14:00 UTC.

Andrea Glandon: (06:56) Wiki Agenda Page: [https://community.icann.org/x/\\_ArVBQ](https://community.icann.org/x/_ArVBQ)

Julf Helsingius (NCSG): (07:54) Hello! I will have two AC connections, as audio in doesn't work on my PC, but the phone has a tiny display

Julf Helsingius (NCSG): (07:55) (and dial-in doesn't work well with European VoIP)

Terri Agnew: (07:55) @Julf, if a dial out on the telephone would be helpful , happy to do so.

Julf Helsingius (NCSG): (07:56) @terri, thanks, but I am OK using the AC app on the phone for audio  
Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison): (07:56) hello all  
Chris Disspain: (07:58) greetings  
Georgios Tselentis (GAC): (07:59) hi everybody  
Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (07:59) Hi all.  
Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:01) Operator burnout along with the rest of us? :-)  
Julf Helsingius (NCSG): (08:01) :)  
Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC: (08:05) hello all  
Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:05) Webinar only ended up lasting for one hour, instead of two, if I recall correctly.  
Alan Greenberg (ALAC): (08:06) My community had presumed that the webinar would have had more substance on the report and less process.  
Chris Disspain (ICANN Board Liaison): (08:06) it was only scheduled for an hour wasn't it?  
Julf Helsingius (NCSG): (08:06) I responded straight away, still waiting for confirmation on travel  
Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:07) I thought it was two, Chris. The two hours normally reserved for this team's call?  
Marika Konings: (08:07) Webinar recording / transcript / slides can be found here: <https://community.icann.org/x/9grVBQ>  
Terri Agnew: (08:07) hotel final paperwork is almost done  
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:08) IET US WAIT  
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:09) I do not like airport hotel  
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:10) May be we meet in transit hall of Toronto airport  
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:10) Why we should be carantined in the airport  
Julf Helsingius (NCSG): (08:11) ICANN makes me feel like the guy in The Terminal - permanently stuck in transit  
Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:11) Tom Hanks?  
Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (08:11) Not a problem, Kurt, we are all busy..  
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:12) Kurt ,did you read my comment on that?  
Diane Plaut (IPC): (08:13) Yes, I sent it to Stephanie  
Julf Helsingius (NCSG): (08:13) @Amr I guess feeling like Tom Hanks isn't that bad  
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:13) We need not to seek advice from anybody but seeking comments  
Kristina Rosette (RySG): (08:13) Can someone remind me - what law firm did RDS use?  
Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (08:13) Yes thanks Diane, saw it last night and hoping to get you a markup in the next hour  
Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:13) Brussles office of WSGR, Kristina.  
Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (08:14) Key lawyer was Chris Kuner  
Kristina Rosette (RySG): (08:14) Thank you!  
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:15) WHO IS CHRIS KUMER  
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:15) ?  
Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:15) @Kurt: That is something that needs to be cleared up. No mention of article 14 in the EDPB letter on this, which I found to be strange.  
Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:16) @Kavouss: He was the lead counsel from WSGR who provided input to the RDS PDP WG on GDPR issues.  
Kristina Rosette (RySG): (08:16) My editing goal was to get the letter down to 1 page. That was the only purpose.  
Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (08:17) Yes the version sent around is the one with Kristina's edits....all accepted.  
Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:17) Isn't the version Stephanie circulated the one Kristina made edits to?

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:17) Ah. Thanks, Stephanie.

Georgios Tselentis (GAC): (08:18) My concern is that the EDPB will NOT comment on a full report -this is not their job.

Chris Disspain (ICANN Board Liaison): (08:20) I may be mistaken but I read the past interactions with the DPAs as actually encouraging us to talk to them rather than to be fearful of what their reaction may be....

Diane Plaut (IPC): (08:20) Marc - the draft I sent Stephanie had no specific questions, the goal was to leave the SOW broad to allow for independent legal counsel to provide advice on the questions the team presents in real time as we determine, which will include final versions of those already posed.

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (08:22) Chris Kuner is the main lawyer who advised the RDS group from WS. Also a very well known privacy lawyer who has edited a key textbook on International Privacy Law. See [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.law.cam.ac.uk\\_people\\_academic\\_c-2Dkuner\\_6151&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7\\_ZjItyVqrCYHo\\_rKms9SFxImbYEJqG-y9l&m=L8uL0U\\_eLv6Wos\\_Ug3IezE0i89iGMdiEOckt7kUwFAQ&s=y3wdGOMUjmmLvG8s5mK1DuFJKUF\\_V\\_ENpYVpjPp3ZRU&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.law.cam.ac.uk_people_academic_c-2Dkuner_6151&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjItyVqrCYHo_rKms9SFxImbYEJqG-y9l&m=L8uL0U_eLv6Wos_Ug3IezE0i89iGMdiEOckt7kUwFAQ&s=y3wdGOMUjmmLvG8s5mK1DuFJKUF_V_ENpYVpjPp3ZRU&e=)

Mark Svancarek (BC): (08:25) That's a good point, Kavouss

Mark Svancarek (BC): (08:25) (once we have solid legal advice)

Diane Plaut (IPC): (08:26) Yes, Mark

Alan Woods (RYSG): (08:26) which after all is what we are stating. We shall talk to them, when we are ready..... we are not currently ready!

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:26) i am not against creation of small group but I AM CONCERNED THAT ONE SMALL GROUP MAY NOT BE ABLE TO TIMELY REACT

Alan Woods (RYSG): (08:28) +1 Ashley

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:29) Also +1 Ashley.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:29) Would the SOW developed by the RDS PDP WG be a possible starting point for the SOW we will need?

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:30) pOOR QUALITY FAR FROM mIC

Marika Konings: (08:30) @Amr - the RDS PDP WG SOW was basically a questionnaire that it wanted responses to.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:31) Yeah. Just a starting point?

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:31) wHEN THE ONE PAGE LETTER WIOULD BE AVAILABE AND DISCUSSED

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:31) Sorry for CAP

Marika Konings: (08:33) The starting point here may be the questions that are flagged in the Initial Report requiring further (EDPB) input? I think Kurt shared those in his original email to the small teams?

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:33) Pls kindly reply to my question about draft letter to EPPB

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:33) Thanks, Kurt.

Marika Konings: (08:33) @Kavouss - the one page letter was shared with Stephanie last week on the EPDP Team mailing list.

Terri Agnew: (08:34) Hotel for the EPDP Team meeting in January 2019: The Westin Harbour Castle, Toronto 1 Harbour Square Toronto, M5J 1A6 Canada

[https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_www.marriott.com\\_hotels\\_travel\\_yyzwi-2Dthe-2Dwestin-2Dharbour-2Dcastle-2Dtoronto\\_&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7\\_ZjItyVqrCYHo\\_rKms9SFxImbYEJqG-y9l&m=L8uL0U\\_eLv6Wos\\_Ug3IezE0i89iGMdiEOckt7kUwFAQ&s=tj7ca7CrkYstVMQnP9zfGZmq-QojxaE6HLHJNF2OdFo&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_www.marriott.com_hotels_travel_yyzwi-2Dthe-2Dwestin-2Dharbour-2Dcastle-2Dtoronto_&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjItyVqrCYHo_rKms9SFxImbYEJqG-y9l&m=L8uL0U_eLv6Wos_Ug3IezE0i89iGMdiEOckt7kUwFAQ&s=tj7ca7CrkYstVMQnP9zfGZmq-QojxaE6HLHJNF2OdFo&e=)

Marika Konings: (08:34) by Stephanie (not with Stephanie)

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:34) gOOD NEWS FOR EVERYBODY  
Mark Svancarek (BC): (08:34) <a cheer goes up from the crowd>  
Chris Disspain (ICANN Board Liaison): (08:34) thanks for the hotel info....They plus everyone else in the world already have all my personal data ;-)  
Ashley Heineman (GAC): (08:35) :-)  
Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (08:35) I was not there, Kurt!  
Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (08:41) yeah I think discussing with the whole group is better than having side meetings  
Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (08:41) Yes, let's continue the dialogue. This is a point that should be brought in front of the EDPB imho as well.  
Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (08:43) Kurt, can't we have a doodle set up by staff for such call.  
Alan Woods (RYSG): (08:44) again ... to be clear ... this was more of a happenstance discussion, not a meeting! :)  
Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (08:44) Let's ask for volunteers (as many as want to participate can participate), but not burden the plenary with discussing these legal issues in detail.  
Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (08:44) our dog is really big too  
Kristina Rosette (RySG): (08:44) +1 thomas  
Benedict Addis - SSAC: (08:44) +1 Thomas  
Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (08:45) The discussion should be open for everyone to join at any time.  
Marika Konings: (08:45) staff has already noted Diane, Alan W, Thomas and Dan  
Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (08:45) yeah I agree  
Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (08:45) But there needs to be a discussion that really digs keep into niceties.  
Diane Plaut (IPC): (08:45) Yes, let's ask for volunteers and then doodle poll to start discussions, but if we can also put time on the F2F calendar as we discussed F2F is essential to this work stream  
Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (08:46) Lost connectivity for a few minutes.  
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:46) The group must be representative of all 9 entities without over representation  
Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (08:47) Kavouss, everyone can join, but they don't have to. We cannot force participation  
Daniel Halloran (ICANN Org Liaison -Legal): (08:47) Kurt: Yes -- me and Trang. Thanks  
Alan Greenberg (ALAC): (08:47) AOB Item: Planned ending time on last day of F2F for those who plan to fly out that day.  
Diane Plaut (IPC): (08:47) My pleasure  
Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (08:48) Dan, we do not know if you had outside counsel working for ICANN on this. I would even support your legal advisors joining....  
Ashley Heineman (GAC): (08:48) So.. can we please have alternates able to attend the f2f, but not participating? I can't see why this would be a problem. It wasn't in Barcelona.  
Marika Konings: (08:49) @Ashley - that would have budget implications that were not applicable in Barcelona as it is the ICANN meeting.  
Marika Konings: (08:49) the meeting is currently planned to be run in the same way as in Los Angeles.  
Ashley Heineman (GAC): (08:49) ah. How about if it is on their own dime?  
Marika Konings: (08:49) it has to do with meeting room size & facilities  
Ashley Heineman (GAC): (08:50) gotcha.  
Margie Milam (BC): (08:50) Ashley+1  
Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:50) Ashley, in that case those who have resource problem will be in disadvantageous  
Marika Konings: (08:50) but if members are not available to attend in person, they can assign alternates through the form to attend in their stead.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:52) Ashley, when I RAISED THE INBALANCE NO. OF MEMBERS IN THE tEAM YOU KEPT SILENCE

Mark Svancarek (BC): (08:52) A heatmap or histogram would be helpful

Emily Taylor (RrSG): (08:54) Agree with Alan W

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (08:54) +1 Alan.

Ashley Heineman (GAC): (08:54) Kavouss, that isn't my point and it isn't about you and not supporting your positions. Happy to take this off line.

Margie Milam (BC): (08:54) agree with Alan W

Matt Serlin (RrSG): (08:54) +1 Alan

Stephanie Perrin (NCSG): (08:55) How do we sign up for this controller/ joint controller discussion group? I would like to join.

Marc Anderson (RySG): (08:55) yeah, +2 Alan

Marika Konings: (08:56) @Stephanie - staff will circulate a doodle poll and those interested in participating should fill out the doodle.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (08:56) ICANN

James Bladel (RrSG): (08:56) I assumed ICANN.

Matt Serlin (RrSG): (08:56) ICANN I would think

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:56) May be jointly

Matt Serlin (RrSG): (08:57) Data escrow providers are essentially "accredited" by ICANN for this purpose

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (08:57) ICANN writes up the requirements, identifies the contractors and enters into contracts with them

James Bladel (RrSG): (08:58) Agree with Matt & Thomas. We do not negotiate w/ Escrow Provider. ICANN holds that contract and defines its terms.

Kavouss Arasteh (GAC): (08:58) James +1

Diane Plaut (IPC): (08:58) Agree with Thomas

Margie Milam (BC): (08:59) +1 Thomas

Alan Woods (RySG): (09:07) +1 Thomas

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (09:07) Or, an addendum that could be added to existing escrow agreements.

Diane Plaut (IPC): (09:07) Totally agree with Thomas

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (09:08) Reading again...

Marika Konings: (09:08) the "1" dropped by mistake - first paragraph is "1"

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (09:10) Right, Kristina

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (09:11) Going the addendum route would (or should) be much faster and easier than entirely new agreements.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (09:12) Just note that a DPA is not rocket science from a legal point of view. There are templates for that that can be used. This is more about getting clarity on what data is processed and how and about the technical and organizational measures for data security.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (09:15) Dan, I am not suggesting it is not a lot of work :-), but can you commit to starting the work of revising this.

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (09:17) Dan, you seem to be making the case for the escrow agents to be independent controllers. Is there a memo on that or do you have a written analysis that leads to that suggestion?

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (09:23) Dan, what is your view as Privacy Officer?

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (09:24) Are we safe to proceed based on the assumption it is a controller-processor scenario?

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (09:25) No cross examination intended. Just trying to understand where you / ICANN Org is.

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC: (09:28) @Thomas & registries from your point of view does it affect you if escrow providers are controllers or processors or maybe a hybrid model exists

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC: (09:29) sorry my chat messages are delayed

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (09:30) Hadia, with a processor, a data processing agreement must be in place to be compliant. If we fail to identify a controller-processor situation where there is one, we run the risk of fines

Alan Woods (RYSG): (09:33) they mean the same thing though

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC: (09:33) @Thomas I understand that the correct compliance agreements need to be in place and that you need to be sure of that

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:36) so if you are not ok with recommendation what will happen? you won't implement it?

Kristina Rosette (RySG): (09:37) Thanks, Trang. This is a helpful clarification.

James Bladel (RrSG): (09:38) So, if I'm following all of this: ICANN Org reserves the right to reject any GNSO ePDP/Council recommendations that create legal risks for the organization. This should also be true for Contracted Parties.

Ashley Heineman (GAC): (09:40) Is the most recent version of purpose O on the wiki? I couldn't find it.

Marika Konings: (09:41) @Ashley - we can recirculate it to the list.

Milton Mueller: (09:41) I don't see how ICANN org can reject a PDP recommendation merely because in its own judgment it creates legal risks. ICANN's mere existence creates legal risks for the organization

Kurt Pritz: (09:42) While "rejection" is the correct word but it would not be good if ICANN org said that the team was not responsive to a concern raised by ICANN org - so it will be good to close that off

Kurt Pritz: (09:43) "While "rejection" is NOT the right word

James Bladel (RrSG): (09:46) Correct, Ashley. There is a Whois Data Accuracy Spec.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (09:46) there is an explicit recommendation to that effect, Ashley

Ashley Heineman (GAC): (09:47) Thanks, that is what I thought James, but it isn't explicit anywhere. Also, the recommendation that Milton refers to references "considering it further," so it wasn't clear what the current status was.

Margie Milam (BC): (09:48) ARS - is GDD

Benedict Addis - SSAC: (09:48) Thanks Margie

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (09:49) How do you "reinstate" ARS without having indiscriminate public access to Whois data, Alan?

Ashley Heineman (GAC): (09:50) Just to clarify... but there is still an obligation for registrars to correct inaccuracies per their contract with ICANN, correct?

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:50) Not going to argue that it isn't a necessary part of ICANN's function, but is it really required for GDPR compliance? In the little bit of time we have, shouldn't we be addressing more urgent matters, and defer this to the later phase of the EPDP?

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC: (09:50) +1 Alan it is indeed necessary to make sure that ARS can continue to function

Ashley Heineman (GAC): (09:51) Amr, I would argue "yes" it is necessary for compliance per article 5.1.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (09:51) Correct, other than making some clarifications this is totally out of scope for this PDP

Ashley Heineman (GAC): (09:51) As long as there is something now that recognizes the need to keep data accurate and/or to correct inaccuracies.

Alex Deacon - IPC: (09:51) +1 Benedict.

Georgios Tselentis (GAC): (09:51) Yes compliance to GDPR article 5.1..d

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:52) @Ashley: The context of accuracy in Article 5 has nothing to do with the ARS so far as I can tell. Would be happy to be corrected on this.

Margie Milam (BC): (09:52) +1 Benedict & Georgios

Ashley Heineman (GAC): (09:53) Thanks Amr. My comments are specific to the necessity to recognize and be required to take appropriate actions to keep data accurate and correct inaccuracies.

Ashley Heineman (GAC): (09:53) I'm not speaking specifically to ARS.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (09:53) As James told you, that requirement remains in the RAA. It has nothing to do with GDPR compliance, however

Trang Nguyen (ICANN Org Liaison): (09:53) @Alan, we've always communicated that the program was on hold while we assess the implications of GDPR on the program. We have never communicated that it was on hold indefinitely.

Alan Greenberg (ALAC): (09:53) I thought we were deferring the actual discussion!!!!!!!!!!!!

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (09:54) Let's get it over with now, Alan, as it's not relevant to the EPDP

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:54) so who are we going to punish if the data is not wrong in the system? registries, registrars or domain name registrants?

Ashley Heineman (GAC): (09:54) Thanks Milton. That is the clarification I wanted, but I argue that it does have to do with GDPR compliance. But the fact that it is still there may be enough (in my mind anyway).

Margie Milam (BC): (09:54) Yes-- agree -- will do -- please take a look at the ICO webpage

Alan Woods (RYSG): (09:54) Agreed Thomas. I think that we be very helpful to understand!

Margie Milam (BC): (09:54) it clarifies the accuracy requirement

Benedict Addis - SSAC: (09:54) It's relevant to the discussion, for the same reason that Compliance is relevant to the discussion.

Margie Milam (BC): (09:55) [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_ico.org.uk\\_for-2Dorganisations\\_guide-2Dto-2Dthe-2Dgeneral-2Ddata-2Dprotection-2Dregulation-2Dgdpr\\_principles\\_accuracy\\_&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7\\_ZjItYVqrCYHo\\_rKms9SFxImbYEJqG-y9I&m=L8uL0U\\_eLv6Wos\\_Ug3IezE0i89iGMdiEOckt7kUwFAQ&s=YHBFPOecTWXr5QR2yC0RipQ-exwfbvrqkXIs3-r48Y&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_ico.org.uk_for-2Dorganisations_guide-2Dto-2Dthe-2Dgeneral-2Ddata-2Dprotection-2Dregulation-2Dgdpr_principles_accuracy_&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjItYVqrCYHo_rKms9SFxImbYEJqG-y9I&m=L8uL0U_eLv6Wos_Ug3IezE0i89iGMdiEOckt7kUwFAQ&s=YHBFPOecTWXr5QR2yC0RipQ-exwfbvrqkXIs3-r48Y&e=)

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (09:55) I oppose discussing this in the next meeting

Benedict Addis - SSAC: (09:55) We have defined a lawful basis for access to personal data by ICANN org for Compliance purposes. We must do the same for GDD here.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:55) me to. I oppose.

Farzaneh Badii (NCSG): (09:55) ha? Benedict I thought we are talking about accuracy

Benedict Addis - SSAC: (09:56) No, we are talking of ARS.

Benedict Addis - SSAC: (09:56) It is an ICANN process that is impacted by GDPR.

Benedict Addis - SSAC: (09:56) Thus, relevant to the ePDP.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (09:56) Benedict (for the 15th time) ARS presumes open access to whois data. That's gone. Get over it

Benedict Addis - SSAC: (09:57) Ha!

Margie Milam (BC): (09:57) Sorry Milton - ICANN has an obligation to provide ARS-- our job is to make sure they have the data they need to perform it

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC: (09:57) @Margie you referred to one of the document that I was going to refer to

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (09:58) No, Benedict, you don't seem to understand the nature of ARS or its current status post-GDPR compliance. Happy to take it offline and explain it to you

Benedict Addis - SSAC: (09:59) Sure. Perhaps you could write an email to the group putting forth your position?

Alan Greenberg (ALAC): (09:59) @Milton, ARS used open data. It is no longer available. We are talking about whether it is appropriate to have ARS access non-public data. It is exactly the same discussion as we had with regard to compliance or the UDRP or URS.

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:59) @Margie: I don't disagree with your logic, or Benedict's. Just believe we have bigger fish to fry before our deadline to deliver recommendations to the GNSO Council. Working out accuracy isn't one of them.

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (09:59) MArgie, ICANN also has a priority obligation to comply with GDPR. ARS comes second

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (09:59) @Milton: Exactly.

Margie Milam (BC): (09:59) Sorry Amr - its an important part of compliancer with GDPR

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (09:59) No it isn't, Margie, please stop making stuff up

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC: (09:59) @Milton ARS presumes access to publically available data because that was the case now we need to find the alternative to make sure ARS still exists

Margie Milam (BC): (09:59) Im not -- you are!

Mark Svancarek (BC): (10:00) Milton, be nice

Milton Mueller (NCSG): (10:00) Accuracy under GDPR is a right of the data subject. It means I can inspect my records and demand that they be corrected

Julf Helsingius (NCSG): (10:00) Looking forward to a proper legal analysis

Margie Milam (BC): (10:00) bye everyone!

Mark Svancarek (BC): (10:00) Agree with Julf. In my experience, the interpretations vary

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC: (10:00) Thank you all - bye

Amr Elsadr (NCSG): (10:00) Thanks all. Bye.

Georgios Tselentis (GAC): (10:00) ~Bye thanks

Thomas Rickert (ISPCP): (10:00) Thanks and bye!

Rafik Dammak (GNSO Council Liaison): (10:00) thanks all

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC: (10:00) No milton

Hadia Elminiawi - ALAC: (10:01) bye all