

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, February 04, 2026 11:29:06 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, February 05, 2026 12:03:50 AM
Time Spent: 00:34:43
IP Address: 108.44.218.21

Page 1

Q1

By entering my name below, I confirm that I have read all EOIs shared via the SSC members-only mailing list and the assignment materials available on the SSC wiki here.

██████████

Q2

Paul McGrady

Yes,
Evaluator's comments/observations about Paul McGrady's experience, skills, and attributes:
Paul McGrady brings deep institutional knowledge of ICANN and the GNSO, with nearly two decades of community involvement, including eight years as a GNSO Council member and leadership roles in multiple PDPs and Council initiatives. He has demonstrated strong experience in consensus building and policy development, including serving as Co-Chair of the Council Small Team on DNS Abuse and helping guide complex work streams to closure following Board review. His background reflects a solid understanding of DNS abuse issues from a policy and legal perspective and an ability to drive pragmatic outcomes within the multistakeholder model, though his experience is more concentrated on policy leadership and Council-level work than on chairing a full PDP end-to-end.

Q3

Farell Folly

No,

Evaluator's comments/observations about Farell Folly's experience, skills, and attributes:

Farell Folly demonstrates strong procedural discipline and solid familiarity with the PDP lifecycle, including prior service as a GNSO Council member and experience as a Vice Chair. However, his background reflects more limited experience serving as the primary Chair of a major, highly contentious PDP, and does not sufficiently demonstrate the depth of operational DNS abuse and contracted-party expertise required for the PDP 1 scope on Associated Domain Checks. His experience appears primarily policy- and advocacy-oriented, with limited evidence of hands-on familiarity with registrar and registry abuse-handling operations, scalability constraints, and RAA-driven feasibility considerations, which are central to the successful delivery of implementable outcomes in this PDP.

Q4

Thomas Rickert

Yes,

Evaluator's comments/observations about Thomas Rickert's experience, skills, and attributes:

Thomas Rickert brings exceptionally comprehensive DNS Abuse expertise spanning policy, legal, operational, and enforcement perspectives, combined with a proven track record chairing highly contentious, high-impact PDPs. He demonstrates deep familiarity with RAA and RA operations, registrar and registry abuse-handling workflows, and practical feasibility limits, informed by both policy leadership and real-world operational engagement. As a former GNSO Council member, Chair of the IGO-INGO PDP, and Co-Chair of the CCWG-Accountability, he has consistently shown the ability to manage complex multistakeholder dynamics, apply Consensus Playbook principles effectively, and deliver durable, implementable outcomes while carefully balancing the global public interest with ICANN's limited technical mandate.

Q5

Please rank the following candidates in order of preference with regards to how the candidate meets the experience, skills, attributes requirements outlined in the Expressions of Interest: 1-first order of preference; 2-next order of preference, etc.

Paul McGrady	2
Farell Folly	3
Thomas Rickert	1

Q6

Any additional comments/observations?

Based on the EOIs, Thomas Rickert demonstrates the strongest combination of prior PDP Chair experience, deep DNS Abuse subject-matter expertise (including operational and contractual realities), and proven ability to build consensus in highly contentious multistakeholder environments, making him particularly well-suited to chair DNS Abuse Mitigation PDP 1.

#2

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, February 06, 2026 1:13:15 AM
Last Modified: Friday, February 06, 2026 1:24:53 AM
Time Spent: 00:11:37
IP Address: 182.48.220.116

Page 1

Q1

By entering my name below, I confirm that I have read all EOIs shared via the SSC members-only mailing list and the assignment materials available on the SSC wiki here.

██████████

Q2

Paul McGrady

Yes,
 Evaluator's comments/observations about Paul McGrady's experience, skills, and attributes:
 Paul is know as the ultimate navigator, and has the respect of the entire community which will be beneficial in his position as chair of the PDP.

Q3

Farell Folly

No

Q4

Thomas Rickert

No

Q5

Please rank the following candidates in order of preference with regards to how the candidate meets the experience, skills, attributes requirements outlined in the Expressions of Interest: 1-first order of preference; 2-next order of preference, etc.

Paul McGrady	1
Farell Folly	3
Thomas Rickert	2

Q6

Respondent skipped this question

Any additional comments/observations?

#3

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 09, 2026 7:54:43 AM
Last Modified: Monday, February 09, 2026 8:15:42 AM
Time Spent: 00:20:58
IP Address: 174.29.24.26

Page 1

Q1

By entering my name below, I confirm that I have read all EOIs shared via the SSC members-only mailing list and the assignment materials available on the SSC wiki here.

██████████

Q2

Paul McGrady

Yes,

Evaluator's comments/observations about Paul McGrady's experience, skills, and attributes:

Paul is a highly qualified candidate, and I appreciate his concise Expression of Interest. However, his EOI does not describe PDP Chair experience.

Q3

Farell Folly

Yes,

Evaluator's comments/observations about Farell Folly's experience, skills, and attributes:

Farrell is a highly qualified candidate, and I appreciate his concise Expression of Interest. However, his EOI does not describe PDP Chair experience.

Q4

Thomas Rickert

Yes,

Evaluator's comments/observations about Thomas Rickert's experience, skills, and attributes:

Thomas is the only candidate that described specific experience as Chair of a PDP, and the benefit his role brought to the project.

Q5

Please rank the following candidates in order of preference with regards to how the candidate meets the experience, skills, attributes requirements outlined in the Expressions of Interest: 1-first order of preference; 2-next order of preference, etc.

Paul McGrady	3
Farell Folly	2
Thomas Rickert	1

Q6

Respondent skipped this question

Any additional comments/observations?

#4

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 09, 2026 10:04:08 AM
Last Modified: Monday, February 09, 2026 10:04:45 AM
Time Spent: 00:00:36
IP Address: 181.173.253.239

Page 1

Q1

By entering my name below, I confirm that I have read all EOIs shared via the SSC members-only mailing list and the assignment materials available on the SSC wiki here.

██████████

Q2 **Yes**

Paul McGrady

Q3 **Don't know**

Farell Folly

Q4 **Yes**

Thomas Rickert

Q5

Please rank the following candidates in order of preference with regards to how the candidate meets the experience, skills, attributes requirements outlined in the Expressions of Interest: 1-first order of preference; 2-next order of preference, etc.

Paul McGrady	1
Farell Folly	3
Thomas Rickert	2

Q6 **Respondent skipped this question**

Any additional comments/observations?

#5

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 09, 2026 10:01:59 AM
Last Modified: Monday, February 09, 2026 10:05:27 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:28
IP Address: 191.177.163.19

Page 1

Q1

By entering my name below, I confirm that I have read all EOIs shared via the SSC members-only mailing list and the assignment materials available on the SSC wiki here.

████████████████████

Q2

Paul McGrady

Yes,
Evaluator's comments/observations about Paul McGrady's experience, skills, and attributes:
Solid background, proven experience, good in building consensus and conducting contentious work with neutrality.

Q3

Farell Folly

Yes,
Evaluator's comments/observations about Farell Folly's experience, skills, and attributes:
Seems to have a solid background, although not in the same level of the other two candidates, and was not clear about the specific experience he had that was relevant for the position

Q4

Thomas Rickert

Yes,
Evaluator's comments/observations about Thomas Rickert's experience, skills, and attributes:
Solid background, proven experience, has already shown his capacity for neutrality and seems to be the one with the most knowledge in the subject. His application was also very detailed, making him the first option.

Q5

Please rank the following candidates in order of preference with regards to how the candidate meets the experience, skills, attributes requirements outlined in the Expressions of Interest: 1-first order of preference; 2-next order of preference, etc.

Paul McGrady	2
Farell Folly	3
Thomas Rickert	1

Q6

Any additional comments/observations?

Two of the candidates stand out, but one of them (Thomas) seem to have a slight edge over the other (Paul)

#6

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 09, 2026 9:40:44 AM
Last Modified: Monday, February 09, 2026 10:25:35 AM
Time Spent: 00:44:51
IP Address: 45.138.231.4

Page 1

Q1

By entering my name below, I confirm that I have read all EOIs shared via the SSC members-only mailing list and the assignment materials available on the SSC wiki here.

██████████

Q2 **Yes**

Paul McGrady

Q3 **No**

Farell Folly

Q4 **Yes**

Thomas Rickert

Q5

Please rank the following candidates in order of preference with regards to how the candidate meets the experience, skills, attributes requirements outlined in the Expressions of Interest: 1-first order of preference; 2-next order of preference, etc.

Paul McGrady	2
Farell Folly	3
Thomas Rickert	1

Q6 **Respondent skipped this question**

Any additional comments/observations?

#7

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 09, 2026 10:55:10 AM
Last Modified: Monday, February 09, 2026 10:57:16 AM
Time Spent: 00:02:05
IP Address: 134.231.192.127

Page 1

Q1

By entering my name below, I confirm that I have read all EOIs shared via the SSC members-only mailing list and the assignment materials available on the SSC wiki here.

████████████████████

Q2

Paul McGrady

Yes,
Evaluator's comments/observations about Paul McGrady's experience, skills, and attributes:
I support Paul as first choice. He has demonstrated the ability to shepherd difficult issues with strongly divergent views through to a satisfactory consensus. Notably, most recently, the Council Small Team and the Small Team + on SubPro non-adopted recommendations and the recent PPSAI small team. As the NomCom appointee for the NCPH he has also demonstrated an ability to help the two sides of the house find common ground. He's a proven "cross constituency" mediator and leader.

Q3

Farell Folly

Yes

Q4

Thomas Rickert

Yes

Q5

Please rank the following candidates in order of preference with regards to how the candidate meets the experience, skills, attributes requirements outlined in the Expressions of Interest: 1-first order of preference; 2-next order of preference, etc.

Paul McGrady	1
Farell Folly	3
Thomas Rickert	2

Q6

Respondent skipped this question

Any additional comments/observations?

#8

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 09, 2026 11:04:18 AM
Last Modified: Monday, February 09, 2026 11:36:55 AM
Time Spent: 00:32:37
IP Address: 154.161.101.117

Page 1

Q1

By entering my name below, I confirm that I have read all EOIs shared via the SSC members-only mailing list and the assignment materials available on the SSC wiki here.

██████████

Q2

Paul McGrady

Yes,
 Evaluator's comments/observations about Paul McGrady's experience, skills, and attributes:
 He has the skills and experience for this role.

Q3

Farell Folly

Yes,
 Evaluator's comments/observations about Farell Folly's experience, skills, and attributes:
 He has the skills and experience for this role.

Q4

Thomas Rickert

Yes,
 Evaluator's comments/observations about Thomas Rickert's experience, skills, and attributes:
 He has the skills and experience for this role.

Q5

Please rank the following candidates in order of preference with regards to how the candidate meets the experience, skills, attributes requirements outlined in the Expressions of Interest: 1-first order of preference; 2-next order of preference, etc.

Paul McGrady	1
Farell Folly	2
Thomas Rickert	3

Q6

Respondent skipped this question

Any additional comments/observations?

#9

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, February 09, 2026 11:48:51 AM
Last Modified: Monday, February 09, 2026 12:47:39 PM
Time Spent: 00:58:48
IP Address: 71.241.253.156

Page 1

Q1

By entering my name below, I confirm that I have read all EOIs shared via the SSC members-only mailing list and the assignment materials available on the SSC wiki here.

[REDACTED]

Q2 **Yes**

Paul McGrady

Q3 **Don't know**

Farell Folly

Q4 **Yes**

Thomas Rickert

Q5

Please rank the following candidates in order of preference with regards to how the candidate meets the experience, skills, attributes requirements outlined in the Expressions of Interest: 1-first order of preference; 2-next order of preference, etc.

Paul McGrady	1
Farell Folly	3
Thomas Rickert	2

Q6

Any additional comments/observations?

I believe all of them would could do a great job. Based on my assessments of the EOIs, I found that either Paul or Thomas could do a great job.
