

Second IANA Naming Function Review (IFR2) Meeting 3

10 January 2024 | 01:00 UTC – 02:00 UTC

Agenda

Agenda Item #1: Welcome, Roll Call, SOI Updates

- **Apologies:** Steve Conte, Jonathan Robinson, Sami Ali, Rajiv Prasad, Rafik Dammak

Agenda Item #2: Introduce Technical Writer, Elizabeth Garber

- Elizabeth will be assisting the team with the draft, recommendations, findings and ultimately the final report.
- She is based in the U.S. Eastern Standard time zone/UTC-5.

Agenda Item #3: Action Items (all action items tracked in the Google sheet linked [here](https://docs.google.com) [docs.google.com])

- Jennifer went over the action items below. No comments/questions were offered by the review team.

Reference	Date	Action item	Status
02-01	5 Dec 2023	Based on Doodle poll results and team discussion at the 5 December meeting, ICANN team to schedule the next call for 10 January 01:00 UTC.	Complete
02-02	5 Dec 2023	ICANN team to share the Terms of Reference from the first IFR. For the review team to call out the specific areas and questions for discussion so that an agreement can be reached on the next call.	Redline Terms of Reference shared via email 4 Jan – to be approved on an upcoming call or on list.
02-03	5 Dec 2023	ICANN team to make sure that the URL on the wiki is separate from the first IFR wiki.	Complete
02-04	5 Dec 2023	ICANN team to work with Co-Chairs on an agenda for the next meeting and share it in advance.	Complete
02-05	5 Dec 2023	ICANN team to start working with Co-Chairs on getting the briefings lined up. They will start with a briefing at the next meeting.	In progress – IANA briefing scheduled for 10 Jan, next briefing on the CSC (Brett) to be scheduled offline.
02-06	5 Dec 2023	ICANN team to come up with suggested meeting plan for January, February, and early March to share with the team—that way the review team members can check their calendars and send their apologies in advance.	In progress – calendar invites sent up to March – content planning with Co-Chairs in progress.

02-07	5 Dec 2023	ICANN team to work with the co-chairs to investigate how best to allow meeting access to observers.	Proposal included in the Terms of Reference draft document.
02-08	5 Dec 2023	For the ICANN team to go back and see if there was a blog published to announce the first IFR and if so, republish a similar blog for IFR2.	IFR1 blog published at team approval of Terms of Reference document.

Agenda Item #4: IANA Services Overview – IANA team

- Slides linked [here](#).
- **Marilia Hirano, Director, IANA Strategic Programs** gave an overview of the core IANA functions and the oversight and accountability of IANA.
- **Selina Harrington, IANA Operations Manager, liaison for CSC**, went over core IANA functions individually, but with a focus on the naming function since it is the focus of the IFR2 review.
- **Aaron Foley, Senior Cryptographic Key Manager** offered overview of the key signing process.
- Marilia noted that this was a high-level presentation. In future sessions, the IANA team could present on more specific topics per the request of the review team.
- The review team’s questions on the IANA presentation are listed below.

IANA Presentation Questions:	Answers:
<p>Ashley Heineman:</p> <p>.arpa falls under the domain name function. Who sets the expectation for this? Is this in cooperation with ITF or is it solely within PTI and ICANN?</p>	<p>Selina:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IANA does not have any SLA’s currently for the .arpa requests. They would fall under ITF for oversight. Currently IANA does not track nor report on .arpa requests. • IANA receives very few requests and usually they process a few modifications to existing delegations. • Very rarely will a second level .arpa domain be added.
<p>Lars-Johan Liman question: Is the process for delegating or redelegating cctlds well described somewhere in public?</p>	<p>Selina: The process is documented on IANA’s website.</p>
<p>Ashley Heineman: Going back to the previous question: How does this part fit under IFR review because it’s technically reflected as part of the naming function, even though it’s handled vis-à-vis the ITF?</p>	<p>Marilia: The .arpa oversight is part of the MOU between ICANN and the ITF. It’s not part of the naming function’s contract; hence, not part of the scope of this review.</p>

<p>Brett Carr: It was mentioned in the slides that the two facilities in the US were mandated to be on us soil by the NDIA. I seemed to remember a while ago that there was talk of there being facilities outside the US. I wonder if that ever came to anything, and if the mandate of them being on US soil is still the case now?</p>	<p>Aaron: PTI bylaws state that IANA functions must be performed on US soil. Not sure if these bylaws will be amended. Marilia Section 4.2 of Article 4 of the naming contract confirms that IANA functions must be performed in the US.</p>
<p>Olga Cavalli: How are the trusted members selected?</p>	<p>Aaron Foley:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interested parties can submit a statement of interest on the IANA website. • IANA has a well-documented process that it uses to vet candidates and a selection process that they go to. • And then they become backup, trusted community representatives, and they are essentially on deck to replace someone that's either serving currently as a crypto officer or as a recovery key shareholder, which are the two different trusted community representative roles that one could have currently.
<p>Ashley Heineman: Any major DNSSEC milestones coming up or recently completed (i.e. key rollovers)?</p>	<p>Aaron Foley:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The team just finished the initial sharing of the next hardware security module (HSM)/Key Rollover plan and is planning the next East Coast ceremony, in April or May, to introduce a new set of HSM's. • The HSMs that have been used are from 2010 and have been discontinued by the manufacturer. • In conjunction with pivoting to a new set of HSM's, IANA has to generate a new key signing key (KSK), which means that the next Rollover will probably take place about a year and a half to 2 years from then.
<p>Ashley Heineman: Follow Up question to above answer: Is this something that we may want to consider since major events will be happening during our review period? Not the KSK change, rather the swapping out of the HSMs. Is it correct to say that they will be changed out next April?</p>	<p>Aaron Foley:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The HSMs won't be changed out. There will be an entirely new set of hardware and credentials introduced. • The current hardware will continue to be used for the remainder of the lifetime of the

	current KSK. Everything will be used in tandem for the time being
--	---

Agenda Item 5: Next Meetings

- Meeting 4 - Tuesday 23 January @ 11:00 -12:00 UTC
- Meeting 5 - Tuesday 6 February @ 18:00 - 19:00 UTC
- Meeting 6 - Wednesday 21 February @ 01:00 - 02:00 UTC

Agenda Item 6: AOB, close

- N/A