

## Second IANA Naming Function Review (IFR2) Meeting 27

10 December 2024 | 18:00 UTC

Meeting Wiki:

<https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=395608130>

<b>Review Team Members and Liaisons:</b> Alan Barrett, Ashley Heineman, Carlton Samuels, Ching Chiao, Edowaye Makanjoula, Jonathan Robinson, Lars-Johan Liman, Marilia Hirano, Peter Koch, Rick Wilhelm, Sami Ali, Steve Conte	<b>Observers:</b> N/A
<b>Apologies:</b> Brett Carr, Rafik Dammak, Olga Cavalli	<b>ICANN Org:</b> Brenda Brewer, Elizabeth Garber (technical writer), Reda Josifi

These high-level notes are designed to help people to navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the meeting recording, which can be accessed directly via this [link](#), or on the wiki page linked above.

### Decisions made:

- Team agreement with the ccNSO's recommendation of having a review five years after the report submission, but no longer than 10 years. Subsequent reviews to evaluate the timeframe.
- Team agreement on the noting that the 2<sup>nd</sup> comment on providing periodic progress review reports to the community to promote openness and accountability is outside the scope of the IFR.

### Action Items:

- IFR2 Leadership team to share homework assignment with the group.

### Agenda Item #1: Welcome, Roll Call, SOI updates

- No SOI updates were offered.

### Agenda Item #2: Action Items (all action items tracked in the Google sheet linked [here](#)).

Reference	Date	Action item	Status
26-03	26 November 2024	Review team to look through the Community Working Group (CWG) Stewardship for more information on Article 8 (why power was invoked to the president of the contractor).	Leadership asked for volunteers to look into the CWG stewardship transition and report back to the review team. Rick volunteered to research the topic.
26-02	26 November 2024	Peter to draft a description of what the perceived problem the IFR2 review team is facing re: Article 8 and share it with the IFR2 team.	Draft will be shared in the coming weeks.
26-01	26 November 2024	Sam Eisner to provide IFR2 group more information on	Sam will follow up with more information in the coming weeks.

Reference	Date	Action item	Status
26-03	26 November 2024	Review team to look through the Community Working Group (CWG) Stewardship for more information on Article 8 (why power was invoked to the president of the contractor).	Leadership asked for volunteers to look into the CWG stewardship transition and report back to the review team. Rick volunteered to research the topic.
26-02	26 November 2024	Peter to draft a description of what the perceived problem the IFR2 review team is facing re: Article 8 and share it with the IFR2 team.	Draft will be shared in the coming weeks.
		the amendment paths/recommendations and the level of detail needed for recommendations.	
25-03	13 November 2024	IFR1 recommendation follow up: IFR2 review team to discuss reporting obligations and review frequency.	Task postponed for discussion after the IFR2 team has reviewed the IFR1 recommendations.
25-04	13 November 2024	Review team to triage findings and suggestions from the compiled 'nice to have' list.	Discussion completed.
07-01	4 March 2024	As follow up from the 6 March CSC briefing: Co-chairs to consider formally asking the CSC liaison if there is anything they would like to bring to the attention of the IFR2.	Leaving this task open in case the CSC decides to bring anything else to the attention of the IFR2 group.

**1) Agenda Item #3: Finish discussing flagged incidental findings ([workbook](#)).**

- 1. Annex A, 1 (c) (line #27)**
  - Clarification from PTI-While the requirement mentions all zones, not all zones are signed because there is not really a recommendation on what the zones under PTI’s technical administration authority are listed.
- 2. Annex A, 1 (d) (iv) (line #30)**
  - IFR2 team agreement on not keeping this section as an incidental finding.

**Agenda Item #4: Discuss IFR1 Recommendations**

- Links to resources: [IFR1 Recommendations Report](#), [IFR1 Public Comments](#) and [IFR1 Outcome](#).
- Discuss [DRAFT Suggested IFR2 Response to Post IFR1 Recommendations](#).

Context: IFR2 inherited two comments from IFR1.

- **Comment 1:** The frequency of reviews (every five years) measured from the date in which the actual recommendations are adopted vs. every five years after the start of the first review. The review team agreed to make a recommendation to hold a review for every five years (and no later than 10 years) after the report is submitted. The team also agreed to not increase review frequency to every three years
- **Comment 2:** Providing periodic progress review reports to the community to promote openness and accountability. The team agreed that this was outside the scope of the IFR.

**Agenda Item #5: Next Meeting:**

- Tuesday, 14 January at 11:00 UTC

**Agenda Item #6: AOB, close**

- No AOB was discussed.