

Second IANA Naming Function Review (IFR2) Meeting 33

14 May 2025 | 20:00 UTC

Meeting Wiki [linked here](#)

Review Team Members and Liaisons: Ashley Heineman, Carlton Samuels, Ching Chiao, Edowaye Makanjuola, Jonathan Robinson, Kim Davies, Peter Koch, Rick Wilhelm, Steve Conte Apologies: Brenda Brewer, Lars-Johan Liman, Rafik Dammak	Observers: N/A ICANN Org: Jennifer Bryce, Reda Josifi
---	---

These high-level notes are designed to help people to navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the meeting recording, which can be accessed directly via this [link](#), or on the wiki page linked above.

Agenda Item #1: Welcome, Roll Call, SOI updates

- No SOI updates were offered.

Agenda Item #2: Action Items (all action items tracked in the Google sheet linked [here](#)).

Reference	Date	Action item	Status
32-01	10 March 2025	The ICANN support team to publish a summary report once the public comment period has ended.	The public comment period ended on 28 April 23:59. The new report publication has been moved from 12 May date to 22 May.
32-02	10 March 2025	Final report to be updated with any new feedback from the Public Comment.	
33-01	14 May 2025	ICANN support to schedule next meeting.	

Agenda Item #3: Discuss [comments](#) received during the open proceeding with special attention to the following comments.

Summary publication date was delayed due to change in template.

- Individual comment from Olivier Crépin-Leblond (pg.9-10)

- IFR2 team agreed that comment would not be included in report as an incidental finding (because it is out of scope), rather, it would be included as a response to the public comment in the final report.
- **Comment from ccNSO Council (pg. 11-12)**
 - IFR2 team flagged Observation 1 and 2 from the comment.
 - **Observation One** concerns the timing of the reviews.
 - The IFR2 team's response: Discussing the timing of the CSC review is not within the review team's purview.
 - **Observation Two** regarding Incidental Finding 4: Ombuds:
 - The IFR2 review team acknowledges this comment, however, it is out of scope since the complexities are greater than PTI, especially when we involve mechanisms that are beyond PTI, i.e. the Ombuds.
 - IFR2's response is that this is a more wide-ranging recommendation than we would have remit to make. Changing anything related to the Ombuds is out of scope for the review.
- **Individual comment from Benson Mugure (starting at the bottom of pg. 13-17)**
 - IFR2 team did not find anything actionable in this comment.
- **Individual comment from George Michaelson (pg.1-3):**
 - Feedback received from this comment will be passed on to PTI and ICANN as HSM relocation is out of scope for the IFR2 review.
 - It was noted that moving the IANA function outside of the US is prohibited under the current IFR contract.

Agenda Item #4: AOB, close

- ICANN support team to schedule the next meeting.