

## Second IANA Naming Function Review (IFR2) Meeting 26

26 November 2024 | 11:00 UTC

Meeting Wiki:

<https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=387154046>

<b>Review Team Members and Liaisons:</b> Alan Barrett, Ashley Heineman, Edouayé Makanjola, Jonathan Robinson, Peter Koch, Rick Wilhelm, Raffik Dammak, Sami Ali, Steve Conte	<b>Observers:</b>
<b>Apologies:</b> Brett Carr, Lars-Johan Liman, Marilia Hirano, Olga Cavalli	<b>ICANN Org:</b> Brenda Brewer, Elizabeth Garber (technical writer), Reda Josifi, Sam Eisner

These high-level notes are designed to help people to navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the meeting recording, which can be accessed directly via this [link](#), or on the wiki page linked above.

### Action Items:

- Sam Eisner to return to the group with more information on the amendment paths/recommendations.
- Peter to draft a description of what the perceived problem the IFR2 review team is facing re: Article 8 and share it with the IFR2 team.
- Review team to look through the CWG for more information/background on Article 8.

### Agenda Item #1: Welcome, Roll Call, SOI updates

- No SOI updates were offered.

### Agenda Item #2: Action Items (all action items tracked in the Google sheet linked [here](#)).

Reference	Date	Action item	Status
24-01	29 October 2024	ICANN support team to look into the formal relationship between the ICANN and PTI Boards (particularly as it pertains to the Domain Name function and report back to the review team. Review team to decide on whether more transparency and openness needed.	Discussion to take place at the 13 November meeting. Email response shared with the IFR2 Team on 8 November.
24-02	29 October 2024	Elizabeth to summarize contract review's 'nice to have' marked items in a table to share with the IFR2 Team.	Summary will be shared at the 13 November meeting.

Reference	Date	Action item	Status
24-01	29 October 2024	ICANN support team to look into the formal relationship between the ICANN and PTI Boards (particularly as it pertains to the Domain Name function and report back to the review team. Review team to decide on whether more transparency and openness needed.	Discussion to take place at the 13 November meeting. Email response shared with the IFR2 Team on 8 November.
07-01	4 March 2024	As follow up from the 6 March CSC briefing: Co-chairs to consider formally asking the CSC liaison if there is anything they would like to bring to the attention of the IFR2.	Ongoing - On hold for future consideration, once the IFR2 gets to a place in its work where it feels it can phrase the question most meaningfully.

**Agenda Item #3: [Article 8 \(Section 8.1 and 8.2\)](#)**

- **Sam Eisner, ICANN Legal, to clarify ICANN’s stance on Article 8.**
  - The use of the word ‘may’ in the contract is intentionally in the contract to indicate that there is a choice to continue moving forward and not a requirement to follow every part of the process.
  - If team is interested in suggesting a contract change, Sam recommends adding the clarification within the complaint resolution process that other accountability avenues can be appropriately revoked. Sam also recommends clarifying that this does not replace the ability to use other processes within ICANN, including the Ombudsman.
  - Not clear why power was invoked to the president of the contractor. IFR2 team to look through CWG records to confirm if there was a specific reason why this was called out.
  - How detailed would the recommendation need to be? Sam to get back to the team. ICANN Legal probably has a way that they can word a recommendation to create the expectation of ICANN amendment with taking in the appropriate voices. The CSC would be an important avenue to invoke.
  - Action Item: Peter to draft a description of what the perceived problem is to share with the IFR2 team for feedback with Article 8.

**Agenda Item #4: Review and discuss incidental findings in [workbook](#).**

- **Sam Eisner to provide input on Article IV, Section 4.4 (b) (line #4) and Article XIV, Section 14.3 (line #24).**
  - Sam recommends adding this as a change to the contract only if other changes are being made.
  - Rick does not consider this an incidental finding, rather an editorial cleanup.
- **Leadership flagged the following contract sections for further discussion with the IFR2 team:**

**a. Article VII, Section 7.1 (a) (line #13)**

1. Suggestion to consult with ICANN's web team on how to make information (contract and amendments) more accessible/transparent on the website.
2. No unified version of contract after amendments have been made. ICANN posts the contract and the amendments side by side. Suggestion to maintain a table that identifies which sections have been amended for short reference.

**b. Annex A, 1(b) (line #26)**

1. No opinion offered by IFR2 team.

**c. Annex A, 1 (c) (line #27)**

1. Deferred for the next call on 10 December.

**d. Annex A, 1 (d) (iv) (line #30)**

1. Deferred for the next call on 10 December.

**Agenda Item #5: Review and discuss the [IFR1 Recommendations report](#)**

- [IFR1 Public Comments](#) linked here.
  - **Team to discuss reporting obligations and review frequency.**
- This agenda item will be further discussed at the next IFR2 meeting on 10 December.

**Agenda Item #6: Next Meeting**

- **Tuesday, 10 December @ 18:00 UTC**

**Agenda Item #7: AOB, close**

- No AOB was discussed.